

**Proceedings  
Ordinary Board Meeting  
Cantonment Board Peshawar  
28<sup>th</sup> September, 2018**

**PROCEEDINGS**  
**ORDINARY MEETING**  
**CANTONMENT BOARD PESHAWAR**  
**28<sup>th</sup> September, 2018**

**PRESENT:**

- (1) Mr. Muhammad Waris ..... Vice President
- (2) Lt. Col Mirza Kashif Baig,  
AA&QMG .....Member
- (3) Ms Qurat Ul Ain Wazir,  
Cantt Magistrate ..... Member
- (4) Mr. Sher Afzal Khan.....Member
- (5) Mr. Yadullah Khan Bangash .....Member
- (6) Mr. Atif Ali Khan, ASC.....Member
- (7) Mr. Amjad Rehmat, ..... Member
- (8) Mrs. Farah Waseem .....Member

\_\_\_\_\_

**Rana Khawar Iftikhar,**  
Cantonment Executive Officer,  
Peshawar Cantonment ..... Secretary

---

The meeting started with the recitation of verses from Holy Quran.

---

Owing to the sensitivities peculiar to Peshawar Cantt, the Board meetings of Cantonment Board Peshawar be not made open to public as per Section 42 of the Cantonments Act, 1924.

---

**ACCOUNTS BRANCH**

**ITEM 1**

**MONTHLY ACCOUNTS**

**Requirement**

To note the monthly statement of accounts of Cantonment Fund along with statement of arrears of revenue for the month of August, 2018.

**Legal Provision:**

Rule 90 of the Cantonment Accounts Code, 1955 which states that: "The Executive Officer shall cause to be prepared a monthly statement of receipts and expenditure of the Cantonment Fund and place it along with statement of arrears of revenue before the Board for information"

**AUGUST 2018**

<b>MONTHLY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS &amp; EXPENDITURE</b>		
<b>Description</b>	<b>Cantt Fund</b>	<b>Premium Fund</b>
Opening Balance	282,390,041.12	199,835,673.13
Receipt during the month	58,553,819.02	Nil
<b>Total</b>	<b>340,943,860.19</b>	<b>199,835,673.13</b>
Expenditure during the month	129,847,012.00	2,500,000.00
Closing Balance	211,096,848.19	197,335,673.13

<b>STATEMENT OF ARREARS OF REVENUE</b>					
<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Head</b>	<b>Arrears as on 1<sup>st</sup> July, 2018</b>	<b>Recovery in Aug 2018</b>	<b>Recovery from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018 to 31<sup>st</sup> Aug 2018</b>	<b>Balance</b>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(3 minus 5)
1	House Tax	511,733,067	470,502	1,366,734	510,366,333
2	P. Water Charges	19,757,554	69,237	121,015	19,636,539
3	Commercial Rent	67,253,282	1,612,894	3,664,828	63,588,454
4	Residential Rent	1,298,401	10,093	73,937	1,224,464
5	Rent from Leases	2,447,580	0	2226	2,445,354
<b>Total:</b>		<b>602,489,884</b>	<b>2,162,726</b>	<b>5,228,740</b>	<b>597,261,144</b>

All relevant files are put up on the table for Boards' consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

Noted.

## **GENERAL BRANCH**

**ITEM 2****SANITARY REPORT****Requirement**

To read and note the monthly sanitary report for the month of August, 2018.

**Legal Provision:**

Section 129 of the Cantonments Act, 1924.

(1) The Health Officer shall exercise a general sanitary supervision over the whole cantonment, and shall submit monthly to the [Board] a report as to the sanitary condition of the cantonment, together with such recommendation in connection therewith as he thinks fit.

(2) The Assistant Health Officer shall perform such duties in connection with the sanitation of the cantonment as are, subject to the control of the <sup>1</sup> [Board], allotted to him by the Health Officer.

The case file is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION:**

The Board read and noted. The Board resolved that the instructions/observations as contained in the Sanitary Report furnished by the Health Officer be complied with and steps should be taken for improvement of sanitary position of Cantt area.

**ITEM 3**

**INCREASE IN SALARY PACKAGE**

**Reference:** Application dated 04-09-2018 submitted by Ms. Aliya Sohail, Principal CB Public School & College for Girls.

**Requirement**

To consider an application under reference and report of Office Supdt: regarding request by the Principal CBPS&C for Girls, for increase in monthly salary from Rs. 95,000/- to 100,000/- in the light of ML&C Deptt: letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017.

**Details**

Ms Alia Sohail was appointed as Principal on contract basis under Rule 9 of Pakistan Cantonments Servants Rule, 1954, in Cantt Board Public School & College for Girls, Khadim Hussain Road Peshawar Cantt for a period of 05 years on fixed pay Rs. 95,000/- per month vide Office Order No. 1171 dated 30-08-2017. She has now been requesting for increase in her salary from Rs. 95,000/- to Rs.100,000/-

**Legal Provision:**

As per Minutes of CEOs conference on Education matters held on 22-03-2017 circulated vide ML&C Department letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017 minimum pay package is as under:-

i.	College Principal	Rs. 80,000 – 100,000
ii.	College Lecturers	Rs. 40,000 – 45,000
iii.	School Principal	Rs. 50,000 – 55,000
iv.	School Teachers	Rs. 25,000 – 30,000
v.	Section Head	Rs. 35,000 – 40,000

**Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the request for increase in salary package of Principal from Rs. 95,000/- to 100,000/- in the light of ML&C Deptt: letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017 or otherwise?

The case file is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION:**

The Board considered and approved the increase in salary package of Ms Alia Sohail, Principal CBPS&C for Girls from Rs. 95,000/- to 100,000/- in the light of ML&C Deptt: letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017, w.e.f Oct, 2018.

**ITEM 4****INCREASE IN SALARY PACKAGE**

**Reference:** Application dated 04-09-2018 submitted by Ms. Sana Farooq Ahmed, Senior Section Head CB Public School & College for Girls.

**Requirement**

To consider an application under reference submitted by Ms Sana Farooq Ahmed, Senior Section Head, CB Public School & College for Girls and report of Office Supdt: regarding request for increase in monthly salary from Rs. 40,000/- to 45,000/- in the light of ML&C Deptt: letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017.

**Details**

Ms Sana Farooq Ahmad was appointed as Section Head, CB Public School & College for Girls on contract basis under Rule 9 of Pakistan Cantonment Servants Rule, 1954, in Cantt Board Public School & College for Girls, Khadim Hussain Road Peshawar Cantt for a period of 05 years on fixed pay Rs. 40,000/- per month vide office order No. 696 dated 19-05-2017 and office order No. 1234 dated 21-09-2017. She has now been requesting for increase in her salary from Rs. 40,000/- to Rs. 45,000/-

**Legal Provision:**

As per Minutes of CEOs conference on Education matters held on 22-03-2017 circulated vide ML&C Department letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017 minimum pay package is as under:-

i.	College Principal	Rs. 80,000 – 100,000
ii.	College Lecturers	Rs. 40,000 – 45,000
iii.	School Principal	Rs. 50,000 – 55,000
iv.	School Teachers	Rs. 25,000 – 30,000
v.	Section Head	Rs. 35,000 – 40,000

**Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the request for increase in salary package of Ms Sana Farooq from Rs. 40,000/- to 45,000/- in the light of ML&C Deptt: letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017 or otherwise?

The case file is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION:**

The Board considered and approved the increase in salary package of Ms Sana Farooq, Section Head of CBPS&C for Girls from Rs. 40,000/- to 45,000/- in the light of ML&C Deptt: letter No. 40/1/P&MA/ ML&C/H&E/2016 dated 28-03-2017 w.e.f Oct, 2018.

**ITEM 5****REGISTRATION / ENLISTMENT OF COUNSELS / LAW FIRM****Requirement:**

To consider the proposal for hiring/enlistment of counsels/law firm, their framework, eligibility criteria and process of hiring, as well as fee structure, on the panel of Cantonment Board Peshawar for pleading/defending cases pending in various courts of law on requirement and case to case basis.

As per survey carried out by the Legal Branch, a number of cases are subjudice in the following courts increasing day by day, while only one Legal Advisor has been engaged who is dealing with all the cases: -

Sr.	Courts	Cases subjudice / in process
1	Civil Courts / District Court	194
2	High Court	60
3	Supreme Court	09

Since there is only one legal advisor on the strength of Cantt Board Peshawar for the last twenty or so years, most of the time cases are delayed owing to commitments / fixation of single date of hearing in different courts.

It is proposed to enlist / hire a legal penal to ensure timely disposal of cases effectively.

The case file is placed on the table for Board's approval.

**RESOLUTION:****Decision**

The Board considered the case. The Board observed that a large number of cases are pending in different courts of law, while, a single Legal Advisor is striving to defend/plead the same, thus, compromising working efficiency.

The Board also observed that a large portion of these cases relate to recovery cases on different head of accounts (such as house tax, land premium etc), as well encroachments on precious Govt / Cantt Board lands.

The Board was, therefore, of the view that there is a need for either a panel of advocates and/or a proper law firm to whom cases could be entrusted to be dealt with efficiently.

At this juncture, elected member from Ward 5, Mr. Atif Ali Khan, Advocate Supreme Court of Pakistan, stated that owing to the urgent need for legal reform reflected by the Board, he would be pleased to place, on a voluntary, pro bono basis, the services of his firm namely '**Ali & Ali**' Advocates & Legal Consultants Peshawar, at the disposal of the Board.

The Board requested for a profile of the law firm which was provided by the elected member towards the end of the meeting. A brief profile of the firm is reproduced as under: -

Senior Associate

*Syed Ibrahim* has LL.B (Hons) from the University of Liverpool and has a LLM in International Energy Law and Policy from the University of Stirling, Scotland. He is an Advocate of the High Court and has cumulative experience of almost 5 years including work experience in the United Kingdom. He has also served as a Law Clerk to Justice Dost Muhammad Khan at the Supreme Court of Pakistan for 2 years.

Associate

*Syed Hamad Ali Shah* is a graduate from Law College, University of Peshawar. He is an advocate of High Court Peshawar. He has been practicing law for the past three years. He holds a key position in firm and is known for his drafting and research skills.

Associate

*Muhammad Nawaz Babar* is a graduate from Law College, University of Peshawar. He is an advocate of High Court Peshawar. He has been practicing law for the past three years. He plays a vital role in legal proceedings for the firm

Associate

*Muhammad Waqas Munir* is a graduate from Law College, University of Peshawar. He is an advocate of High Court Peshawar. He has been practicing law for the past three years and his area of expertise is civil and criminal litigation. He is responsible for representing clients in criminal, civil and other legal proceedings on legal transactions.

Associate

*Usman Ahmad* is a graduate from Law College, University of Peshawar. He is an advocate of High Court Peshawar. He has been practicing law for the past three years and is a sound litigator.

The clientele listed in the law firm's profile include local entities like Water & Sanitation Services Peshawar ("WSSP"), the National Commission for Human Development 'NCHD', the Federal Board of Revenue ('FBR') the Pakistan Cricket Board ('PCB'), A. F. Ferguson & Co., a member firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers, the Pakistan Telecommunication Authority ('PTA'), the Pakistan Telecommunication Company Limited ('PTCL'), Mobilink, Ufone, Telenor, Zong and international clients such the the Norwegian Refugee Council ('NRC'), the German Technical Cooperation Agency ('GTZ'), Baker & McKenzie, New York, the Center for Constitutional Rights, New York, Human Rights First, New York, the Management Sciences for Health, Boston, and Pfizer Pharmaceuticals.

The Board appreciated the proposal of the elected member of Ward No.5, and decided to hire the services of the law firm on a voluntary basis for an initial period of 6 months. The Board was of the view that the performance of the firm be evaluated after every 3 months and if after the final evaluation after 6 months, the Board is satisfied by the law firm's performance, the law firm should be formally hired.

**Decision**

The Board approved the proposal floated by the elected member W-5, for provision of service of 'Ali & Ali Advocates & Legal Consultants', purely on voluntary basis for the period of 6 months. After successful completion of the prescribed period (and once after the initial 3 months), performance of the firm will be evaluated. The performance evaluation reports will be placed before the Board for further consideration and hiring of the firm on permanent basis.

**REVENUE BRANCH**
**ITEM 6**
**AUCTION OF COLLECTION RIGHTS OF GROUP LATRINE IN THE  
JURISDICTION OF CANTT BOARD PESHAWAR**

**Reference:** Auction proceeding held on 17<sup>th</sup> September, 2018.

**Requirements**

To consider approval of auction proceeding of collection rights of Group Latrine, Peshawar Cantt, held on 17-09-2018.

**Legal Provision**

All measures of publicity i.e. notices were published in newspapers i.e. "Express " dated 31-08-2018 & "Daily Nawa-i-Waqt" dated 01-09-2018 and displayed on Cantt Board Peshawar website.

**The major terms & conditions of auction were as under: -**

- a. Tenure of the above mentioned contract will be w.e.f. 26<sup>th</sup> September 2018 to 30-06-2019.
- b. Every person/individual will deposit earnest money i.e. Rs. 15,00,000/- in shape of CDR in favour of CEO.
- c. The successful bidder will deposit 03 months of the bid money along with Income Tax in advance and also deposit 02 x postdated cheques for 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> installment (03 months each) . .
- d. Successful bidder will be bound to collect the fee as per rates approved by the Board.
- e. Contractor will be bound to produce a non-judicial stamp paper for the execution of necessary agreement in this respect.
- f. Contractor will collect fee through his own staff.
- g. The CEO/Cantt: Board is authorized to reject or accept any bid on technical/administrative grounds.
- h. Owing to current security condition in the region, Cantt Board will not be responsible for any loss.
- i. That the contractor will have to abide by all Cantt Board Rules/Bye Laws/ instructions, in case of non-compliance the Board has the rights to cancel the agreement.

Rule 98 of Cantonment Account Code, 1955 is reproduced as under:



***“98 Conduct of Auction.-*** Every auction of lease of land and buildings, and of sale of movable and immovable property, trees and stores, etc., belonging to the Board, shall be held in presence of the Executive Officer or such other Officer as he may depute in this behalf”.

Action was held in the presence of Vice President Cantt Board Peshawar.

**Highest Bid received as under:-**

<b>COLLECTION RIGHTS OF GROUP LATRINE CANTT BOARD PESHAWAR 17/09/2018</b>				
<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of Bidders</b>	<b>CNIC</b>	<b>Bids Received 2018-19</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
1	Tariq Mehmood	17301-8477585-3	Rs. 16,800/- Per day	Highest

**Point to be considered:-**

Whether approved the highest bid as mentioned above for the auction held on 17-09-2018 in respect of collection rights of Group Latrine Peshawar Cantt or otherwise?

The case file along with connected documents is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and resolved as under: -

- a) Approved the highest bid of Rs. 16,800/- per day offered by Mr. Tariq Mehmood for collection rights of group latrine Peshawar Cantt (26<sup>th</sup> Sep 2018 to 30<sup>th</sup> Jun 2019) subject to approval of terms & condition as mentioned on agenda side.
- b) Ex-post facto sanction for running the contract by the existing contractor at the contractual rates approved by the Board for the FY 2017-18. Recovery be effected accordingly.
- c) Recovery of Income Tax @ 10% and fulfillment of other terms & conditions of the contract.

**ITEM 7**

**AUCTION OF UNSERVICEABLE STORE**

**Requirement**

To consider the auction proceeding of un-serviceable store lying in Cantt Board Office held on 28-9-2018.

**The major terms & conditions of auction were as under: -**

1. Every person / individual will deposit Rs. 50,000/- in the shape of CDR in favour of CEO alongwith CNIC copy for the said auction.
2. If a Person appears on behalf of other person, then the original bidder will provide a copy of authority letter.

3. The successful bidder will be bound to deposit 50% amount of the highest bid at the time of auction and the remaining 50% amount of bid money and Govt: Taxes will be deposited after approval of bid.
4. All those people who are defaulter of Cantt: Board Peshawar will not be allowed to participate in auction
5. That the old / unserviceable store will be handed over to highest bidder after approval and depositing the remaining amount alongwith Govt: Taxes
6. The highest bidder will responsible to carry old / unserviceable store within 15 days after approval.
7. In case of non-compliance of the above mentioned terms & conditions, the already deposited amount (Call Deposit / Cheques) will be forfeited in Cantt: Fund.
8. The CEO/Cantt Board is authorized to reject or accept any bid on Technical / Administrative grounds.

**Legal Provision**

All measures of publicity i.e. notices were published in newspapers i.e. "Express ", "Aaj" & "Ummat" dated 12-09-2018 and displayed on Cantt Board Peshawar website.

Rule 98 of Cantonment Account Code, 1955 is reproduced as under:

*"98 Conduct of Auction.- Every auction of lease of land and buildings, and of sale of movable and immovable property, trees and stores, etc., belonging to the Board, shall be held in presence of the Executive Officer or such other Officer as he may depute in this behalf".*

Action was held in the presence of Vice President Cantt Board Peshawar.

**Highest Bid received as under:-**

The detail of 03 highest bidders is as under:-

S.No	Name of bidder	Bids	Remarks
1.	Muhammad Zahid	Rs. 340,000/-	<b>Highest</b>
2.	Aurangzeb	Rs. 335,000/-	2 <sup>nd</sup> Highest
3.	Muhammad Naeem Afridi	Rs. 320,000/-	

**Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the highest bid of Rs. 340,000/- offered by Muhammad Zahid for un-serviceable store or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the highest bid of Rs. 340,000/- offered by Muhammad Zahid for collection un-serviceable store in Cantt Board Office Peshawar Cantt subject to approval of terms & condition as mentioned on agenda side.

**ITEM 8****AMENDMENT OF AREA IN RESPECT OF PASSAGE/SHOP NO. 04/A (G/FLOOR),  
SADDAR ROAD, PESHAWAR CANTONMENT****Requirements**

To consider the request of applicant regarding correction of premium as per amendment in area of Cantt fund passage/shop area at existing site.

**Brief of the case**

In the year 1960-62, The Cantt Board passage/shop No. 04/A, Ground floor situated at Saddar Road, Peshawar Cantonment was rented out to the tenant for a period of 03 years without charging any premium. The tenancy rights of these Cantt fund units were renewed by the Board from time to time on nominal increase in rent upto 30-06-2010. However, last time while considering the renewal of tenancy rights the Board vide its Resolution No. 31 dated 01-06-2016 after thorough deliberation resolved that: -

*“Study of cost/benefit analysis provided by the Engineering Branch, realized that since 2 x tenants have already deposited the premium therefore decision taken vide CBR No. 10 dated 07-05-2013 regarding demolition of old building and construction of new building in its place, need to be reviewed, keeping in view the cost/benefit analysis mentioned on agenda side.*

*The Board therefore resolved that the tenants should pay the premium amount as per the revised rates as approved in the light of CBR No. 67 dated 11-4-2014 within the timeline to be given by the CEO. The Board further decided that in case of failure in payment of premium amount within the timeline as specified by the CEO, the possession of the CB units be taken over for optimal disposal.”*

In the light of above referred resolution of the Board, this office asked the tenants to deposit premium and building rent as per rate approved vide CBR No. 67, dated 11-04-2014 within 60 days from the receipt of this office letter i.e. No. 6/87(4/A passage)/Saddar Road/Rent/65146, dated 18-07-2016 to make payment of Rs. 82,56,979/- on account of premium with the calculated area 1005 Sft as per record of this office.

In response, the applicant vide his application dated 26-07-2016 stated that the premium amount has been calculated on an area measuring 1005 Sft, whereas as per site the area of passage/shop in question is less than the calculated area and requested to demarcate the same. The case was referred to SD'Man for site inspection. He visited the site and reported the actual area of the passage/shop in question 388 Sft at site.

**Legal Provision**

Amendment in area of CB shop as per demarcation carried out by the SD'Man of this office.

**Point to be considered**

Whether to consider the following: -

- a) Amendment of area from 1005 Sft to 388 Sft as per demarcation and site plan prepared by the SD'Man of this office.
- b) To levy / recover premium according to amended area, or otherwise.

The case file along with connected documents is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

### **RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and resolved as under: -

- a) Approval of amendment/correction of area from 1005 sft to 388 sft as per demarcation & site plan prepared by the SD'Man. SD'Man will issue proper site/area plan to the tenant accordingly.
- b) Levy & recovery of premium as per original area i.e. 388 sft as decided in para (a) above.
- c) The tenant be asked to deposit the premium amount within one month i.e. by 31<sup>st</sup> Oct, 2018 failing which the shop be disposed off through open public auction.

### **ITEM 9**

#### **AMENDMENT OF AREA OF CB SHOP NO. 09 (UG), COMMERCIAL PLAZA, FAKHAR-E-ALAM ROAD, PESHAWAR CANTONMENT AS PER SITE**

**Reference:** - Application dated 20-11-2017 from Mr. Bakhtiar Ahmad S/o Faqir Muhammad.

#### **Requirements**

To consider request of applicant under reference regarding amendment of area of Shop No. 09 (UG), Commercial Plaza, situated at Fakhar-e-Alam Road, Peshawar Cantonment, as the area of the shop in question is less with regard to approved plan / drawing and also requested to refund the amount of premium paid in excess.

#### **Brief of the case**

Shop No. 09 (UG) measuring 205 sft was allotted to Mr. Bakhtiar Ahmad in an open public auction held on 28-01-2014 on payment of premium (non-refundable) Rs. 8,230,000/- & 10% Income Tax i.e. Rs. 823,000/-. The same was approved by the Board vide CBR No. 02, dated 18-02-2014.

Later on, the tenant of the shop vide application under reference raised objection over the area of shop in question and stated that the area of the shop in question is less with regard to approved building plan.

The matter was referred to Engineering Branch for verification and demarcation purpose. The field technical staff vide their site report (Note Sheet No. 03 of the main file) informed that actual area of shop in question is 190.63 sft say 191 sft, hence an area measuring 14 sft was found less at site.

The premium as per actual area of Shop No. 9 (UG) Commercial Plaza, Fakhar-e-Alam Road, Peshawar Cantonment is calculated as under:-

Area of Shop No. 09 (UG) as per approved Plan = 205 sft

Area of Shop No. 09 (UG) as per site	=	191 sft
Area difference	=	14 sft less at site
Premium Paid for an area measuring 205 Sft	=	Rs. 8,230,000/-
Premium comes for an area measuring 191 Sft	=	<u>Rs. 7,667,951/-</u>
Premium difference (To be refunded to tenant)	=	<b>Rs. 562,049/-</b>

**Point to be considered**

Whether the amount of premium paid in excess by the tenant i.e. Mr. Bakhtiar Ahmad in respect of Shop No. 09 (UG), Commercial Plaza, Fakhar-e-Alam Road, Peshawar Cantonment be adjusted in monthly building rent or otherwise?

The case file along with connected documents is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the amendment in area of Shop No. 09 (UG), commercial plaza, situated at Fakhar-e-Alam Road Peshawar Cantonment, as mentioned on agenda side.

The Board further resolved that the amount of premium in respect of excess area i.e. Rs.562,049/- be adjusted on account of monthly rent of said shop and the monthly rent be revised accordingly with no retrospective effect.

**ITEM 10**

**HIRING OF ACCOMMODATION ON SELF BASIS**

**Requirements**

To consider an application dated 08-08-2018 received from Miss Tehmina Anjum, Teacher, Cantt Board Public High School, Tariq Road, Peshawar Cantt for hiring of Flat No.806, situated at Prime Residence Plaza, Gulberg No. 1, Peshawar as a residential accommodation.

**Brief of the case**

- ✓ Miss Tehmina Anjum, Teacher Cantt Board Public High School, Tariq Road, Peshawar Cantonment vide application dated 08-08-2018 is requesting for hiring of Flat No. 806, situated at Prime Residence Plaza, Gulberg No. 1, Peshawar as a residential accommodation.
- ✓ She was allotted Cantt Fund Flat No.2, Ground Floor, Block A-1, CGH Colony, Peshawar Cantt. However, due to extension of Cantt General Hospital from 200 beds to 500 beds, the said block was get vacated.
- ✓ Since, there was no official CB accommodation available or lying vacant, she managed to hire rented accommodation.
- ✓ The applicant has also furnished the willingness certificate and design/building plan for the purpose.

**Legal Provision**

As per Govt: of Pakistan, Ministry of Housing & Works O.M. No. F-4(8)/92-Policy dated 25-06-2018 the monthly rental ceiling of BS-14 is Rs. 18,843/- per month.

**Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the request of applicant for self-hiring of residential accommodation or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved self-hiring of residential accommodation of Miss Tehmina Anjum, Teacher in Cantt Board Public High School, Tariq Road Peshawar Cantt as per Govt: of Pakistan Ministry of Housing & Work O.M No. F-4(8)/ 92-policy dated 26-06-2018 the monthly rental ceiling of BS-14 is Rs.18,843/- .

**ITEM 11****AUCTION OF SCHOOL CANTEEN (CB PUBLIC SCHOOL & COLLEGE FOR GIRLS  
KHADIM HUSSAIN ROAD), PESHAWAR CANTT**

**Reference:** Auction proceeding held on 25<sup>th</sup> Sep, 2018

**Requirement:-**

To consider approval of auction proceeding of Canteen situated at CB Public School & College for Girls, situated at Khadim Hussain Road, Peshawar Cantt held on 25-09-2018

**Legal Provision**

All measures of publicity i.e. notices were published in newspapers i.e. Daily "Aaj" & Daily "Today's Muslim" dated 05-09-2018 and displayed on Cantt Board Peshawar website.

**The major terms & conditions of auction were as under: -**

- Tenure of the above mentioned contract will be for 02 years & 09 months w.e.f. 1<sup>st</sup> October 2018 to 30-06-2021.
- Every person/individual will deposit earnest money i.e. Rs. 50,000/- in shape of CDR in favour of CEO.
- The successful bidder will deposit 03 months rent and entire Income Tax in advance.
- The CEO/Cantt: Board is authorized to reject or accept any bid on Technical / Administrative grounds.

Rule 98 of Cantonment Account Code, 1955 is reproduced as under:

***"98 Conduct of Auction.- Every auction of lease of land and buildings, and of sale of movable and immovable property, trees and stores, etc., belonging to the Board, shall be held in presence of the Executive Officer or such other Officer as he may depute in this behalf".***

Action was held in the presence of Vice President Cantt Board Peshawar.

Highest Bid received as under:-

<b>CANTEEN</b>				
<b>CB PUBLIC SCHOOL &amp; COLLEGE FOR GIRLS</b>				
Sr. No.	Name of Bidders	CNIC	Bids Received 2018-19	Remarks
1	Bakhtiar Ahmad S/o Faqir Muhammad	17301-4135821-9	Rs. 16,070/- Per month	1 <sup>st</sup> Highest
2.	Riaz Ahmad S/o Abdul Haleem	17301-3535985-9	Rs. 16,060/- Per month	2 <sup>nd</sup> Highest

Pros & Cons: -

- Auction proceeding was conducted successfully, and a highest bid received @ Rs. 16,070/-.
- Since, the canteen is located in Girls School & College, therefore, a lady is required to run the same.
- No lady participant approached / participated in auction proceeding.
- The highest bidder also refused to make arrangement of a lady for running the Canteen in School Premises, whereas, as per need of the Principal of School, the shopkeeper of the canteen should be a lady.
- One Mst. Noreen vide application dated 10-07-2018 show her willingness to acquire the said canteen i.e. to grant her the same against operational rights at monthly rent of Rs. 4,000/-, keeping in view the strength of school and that she cannot afford to pay more than Rs. 4,000/- as monthly rent.

Point to be considered:-

The following points are placed for consideration:-

- a) Cancellation of auction proceeding held on 25-09-2018 regarding auction of School Canteen, as no lady participant was present in open auction.
- b) Whether contract of School Canteen be awarded to Miss Noreen on payment of Rs. 4,000/- as monthly rent or otherwise?

The case file along with connected documents is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered the case. The Board was of the view that since the canteen is to be run by a lady keeping in view the environment and sensitivity of institute, therefore, the Board resolved as under: -

- a) The highest bidder be asked to arrange a lady for running of school canteen.
- b) If he is unable to arrange lady then, the said bid be offered to the second highest bidder subject to arrangement of lady or any other lady on the terms & conditions mentioned on agenda side.

**ITEM 12****CB SHOPS SITUATED AT COMMERCIAL PLAZA, DABGARI GARDEN, PESHAWAR CANTONMENT**

Reference: CBR No.4, dated 07-05-2013, No.3, dated 17-01-2014 & CBR No.5, dated 01-06-2016.

**Requirement:**

To consider request of tenants of shops No. 01 to 20 (F/Floor), Commercial Plaza, situated at Rehman Baba Road, Dabgari Garden, Peshawar regarding reduction of monthly building rent.

**Brief of the case**

- ✓ Out of 39 x CB Shops on 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, situated at Commercial Plaza, Rehman Baba Road, Peshawar Cantonment, shops No. 1 to 10 & No. 11 to 20 were allotted to Haji Arab Gul & Mian Damsaz Ali (respectively) in open public auction, as approved by the Board vide CBR No.4 dated 07-05-2013 with monthly rent of Rs. 6,480/- each shop for the initial period of 05 years.
- ✓ The possessions of the shops were also handed over to the tenants on 01-08-2013 as per terms and conditions of auction which was announced and accepted by the bidder before the auction.
- ✓ However, for remaining 19 Nos shops (from 21 to 39) all measures of publicity and strenuous efforts were made for disposal of these shops, but no fruitful result was achieved. In an open public auction held on 03-01-2014 for these shops i.e. Shop Nos. 21 to 39, the interest bidders shown/raised some reservations regarding higher premium & building rent and demanded its reduction as these shops are way far from the main chowk. The then CEO verbally told the bidder to participate for auction of premium in respect of these shops as far as issue for building rent is concerned, the same will be dealt under the rules.
- ✓ Thereafter, Shops No. 21 to 30 at the same floor were allotted to various tenants, with the approval of the Board vide CBR No.3 dated 17-01-2014 with monthly rent of Rs. 6,480/- for a initial period of 05 years, however the rent of these shops i.e. (21 to 30) was reduced to Rs. 2,071/- vide CBR No.5, dated 01-06-2016 as promised with the tenants.
- ✓ Similarly, the tenants of remaining CB Shops i.e. Shops No. 01 to 20 (First Floor) Commercial Plaza, situated at Rehman Baba Road, Dabgari Garden, Peshawar Cantonment vide their applications dated 11-04-2018, 02-02-2018 are now requesting therein to reduce the monthly building rent of above referred shops by keeping in view the decision of the Board vide CBR No.5 dated 01-06-2016 regarding reduction of building rent in respect of shops No. 21 to 30.

**Legal Provision**

CBR No. 05, dated 01-06-2016



**Point to be considered**

Whether to consider the request of tenants of shops No. 01 to 20 (F/Floor), Commercial Plaza, situated at Rehman Baba Road, Dabgari Garden, Peshawar regarding reduction of monthly building rent or otherwise.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and regretted.

**ITEM 13****REMISSION OF SKY CHARGES IN RESPECT OF HOARDING BOARDS INSTALLED ON A-1 LAND PESHAWAR CANTT**

Reference: - Sta HQ Psc letter No. 89/5/Gen/CB-XC HYA dated 04-04-2018, No. 89/5/Gen/CB-WIHZJJ dated 13-04-2018, No. 89/5/Gen/CB-SZHOJV dated 31-05-2018, No. 89/5/Gen/CB-XCHGYK dated 04-04-2018. Apollo Advertiser application dated 09-07-2018, application along with Pictures 13-07-2018 & 27-07-2018.

**Requirements**

To consider application dated 27-07-2018 submitted by M/s Apollo Advertiser requesting therein to remit/exempt the sky charges in respect of the following hoarding boards as these boards have been damage/removed due to BRT project.

S.No	Location	Qty	Size	Amount
1.	Inside Peshawar Service Club (Site-I)	01	60 x 20	Rs. 360,000/-
2.	Inside Peshawar Service Club (Site-II)	01	60 x 20	Rs. 360,000/-
3.	39 The Mall Club Chowk	01	60 x 20	Rs. 360,000/-
4.	37-The Mall Club Chowk	01	60 x 20	Rs. 360,000/-
5.	45 Baloch Khyber Road	01	60 x 20	Rs. 360,000/-
6.	Near FG School Khyber Road	01	60 x 20	Rs. 360,000/-
7.	Army Ground Shama Chowk	07	20 x 10	Rs. 420,000/-
8.	Army Ground Shama Chowk	01	40 x 10	Rs. 120,000/-
9.	Army Ground Shama Chowk	03	90 x 30	Rs. 2,430,000/-
10.	Near American Consulate	01	90 x 30	Rs. 810,000/-
11.	Bridge Panel (University Road)	01	108 x 6	Rs. 48,600/-
<b>Total</b>				<b>Rs. 5,988,600/-</b>

**Brief of the case**

- The Board vide CBR No. 22 dated 01-10-2015 fixed the sky charges on A-1 Land for the period 2017-18.
- Cantt Board office vide letter No.3/5/Hoarding/82598 dated 24-01-2018 asked M/s Apollo Advertiser to deposit Rs. 76,64,400/- on account of sky charges in respect of all hoarding boards.
- In response, M/s Apollo Advertiser submitted application dated 25-05-2018 stating therein that Boards have been damaged/removed due to BRT Project.
- The applicant also submitted application to Sta HQ Psc, which has forwarded by the Sta HQ Psc vide letter No. 89/5/Gen/CB-XCHGYK dated 04-04-2018 for consideration by CBP.

- The request of applicant is genuine and his request for waving off sky charges for the period from 01-01-2018 to 30-06-2018 can be considered subject to the payment of balance amount of sky charges for the period from July 2017 to December 2017.
- Detail of affected Boards is as under:-

Sr	Size of boards	No. of Boards	Sky Charges amount (2017-18)	Affected period	Amount of affected months	Payable Amount (01-07-17 to 31-12-2017)
1.	60 x 20	06	2,160,000	06 months	1,080,000	1,080,000
2.	90 x 30	04	3,240,000	06 months	1,620,000	1,620,000
3.	10 x 20	07	420,000	06 months	210,000	210,000
4.	10 x 40	01	120,000	06 months	60,000	60,000
5.	Bridge Panel (108x 6)	01	194,400	04 months	64,800	129,600
<b>Total</b>			<b>613,4400</b>		<b>3,034,800</b>	<b>3,099,600</b>

**Point to be considered**

Whether the amount of Rs. 3,034,800/- be exempted / waived off from contractual amount or otherwise?

The case file along with connected documents is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the waiving / exemption of an amount of Rs. 3,034,800/- on account of Sky Charges for the period effected due to BRT Project.

**STORE BRANCH**

**ITEM 14**

**APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider carrying out of engine overhauling works in CB Vehicle No.A-5165 (Lancer) for CB Work-shop, Cantonment Board Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **A-5(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S Amir sons, G.O.S, Peshawar		M/S Wajid ullah & sons, contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Friends construction & Associates contractor.	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	Engine Overhauling complete works	Complete work.	140,000	140,000	145,500	145,500	146,000	146,000
2	Shock set	01 No	1,900	19,000	19,800	19,800	19,950	19,950
3	Chamita set	01 No	11,000	11,000	11,500	11,500	11,800	11,800
4	Seat cover set	01 No	10,000	10,000	11,500	11,500	11,850	11,850
5	Drum	02 Nos	7,200	14,400	7,500	15,000	780	15,600
			<b>Total</b>	<b>194,400</b>		<b>203,300</b>		<b>205,200</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 15**

**APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of Tyre for vehicles No. A-6940 (Fog lifter), No. A-9277 (Shahzoor), No.A-9275 (Shahzoor), No.A-8039 (Suzuki) for CB Work-shop, Cantonment Board Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40** says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **F-4(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S Amir sons, G.O.S, Peshawar		M/S Wajid ullah & sons, contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Friends construction & Associates contractor.	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	Tyre A-6940 Fog lifter	02 Nos	440,00	88,000	45,000	90,000	48,000	96,000
2	Tyre A-9277 Shahzoor	04 Nos	17,000	68,000	17,100	68,400	17,500	70,000
3	Tyre A-9275 Shahzoor	04 Nos	17,000	68,000	17,100	68,400	17,500	70,000
4	Tyre A-8039 Suzuki	04 Nos	9,000	36,000	9,500	38,000	9,800	39,200
5	Tyre set (Dunlop)	04 Nos	16,000	64,000	16,500	66,000	16,800	67,200
6	Battery 60 Amp	01 No	12,000	12,000	12,200	12,200	12,500	12,500
	<b>Total</b>			<b>336,000</b>		<b>343,000</b>		<b>354,900</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 16****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of vehicle tracking & fleet Management system (VTS) for (Vehicles: No.A-3906, A-3924, A-3932, A-3981, A-8084, A-9315, AA-1505, AA-2561, ABP-105 & A-61) for CB Work-shop Cantonment Board Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40** says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **A-5(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Falcon Technologies Islamabad		M/S Wajid ullah & sons, contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Friends construction & Associates contractor.	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
vehicle tracking & fleet Management system (VTS) for (Veh: No.A-3906, A-3924, A-3932, A-3981, A-8084, A-9315, AA-1505, AA-2561, ABP-105 & A-61)	10 Nos	49,725	497,250	49,800	498,000	49,950	499,500

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 17****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement/ printing of OPD Chits Rs.50/- for Cantt: Residents & Rs.200/- for Non Cantt: Residents for Cantt: General Hospital Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **F-1(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S 3 Star Enterprises Peshawar		M/S Rehmat Printing Press Peshawar		M/S New Times Printers Peshawar	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	OPD Chits	300 Pads	425	127,500	430	Rs.129000	450	Rs.135,000
2	OPD Chits	200 Pads	425	85,000	430	Rs.86000	450	Rs.90,000
			<b>Total</b>	<b>212,500</b>		<b>Rs.215000</b>		<b>Rs.225,000</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 18****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of 10 x wooden stylish benches for CB Public High School, Tariq Road, Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **G-7(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Khwaja Traders Contractor Peshawar		M/S AL Mansoor Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Five Star Enterprises Peshawar	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3		4		5	
Wooden stylish benches (03 seater)	10 No	22,000	220,000	23,000	230,00	24,000	240,00

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 19****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of 20 x U-V Lamps 36", 16x U-V Lamp Blast, 40 x Nylon Brush for CB Water Filtration Plants of Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **F-5(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S AL Mansoor Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Ajmiri Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Umair Ahmad Govt contractor Peshawar	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1.	U-V Lamps 36"	20Nos	8500	170,000	8,650	173,000	8,900	178,000
2.	U-V lamp blast	16Nos	1800	28,800	1,950	31,200	2,100	33,600
3.	Viper	40Nos	1100	44,000	1,200	48,000	1,350	54,000
4.	Nylon Brush	40Nos	950	38,000	1,020	40,800	1,120	44,800
			<b>Total</b>	<b>280,800</b>		<b>293,000</b>		<b>310,400</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.



**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 20****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement & installation of Fiber Sheet Cabin as required at the main gate of School for female Lady Security Guard of CB Public School & College for Girls, Khadim Hussain Road Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **G-7(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Khwaja Traders Contractor Peshawar		M/S AL Mansoor Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Five Star Enterprises Peshawar	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3		4		5	
Fiber sheet cabin size (5'x5'x9')	01 No	94,300	94,300	110,000	110,000	120,000	120,000

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 21****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of 16 x Flood Light 250w, 06 x Coils Cable 7/29 D-Core, 03 x Main Switch 60 Amp, 04 x Doz Steel Nails 3", 04 x Insolation Tape for Khalid Bin Waleed Park, Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40** says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **E-2(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S AL Mansoor Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Nawaz & Co Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Ajmiri Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	Flood light 250W	16 Nos	18,000	288,000	19,500	312,000	20,500	328,000
2	Cable 7/29 D-Core	06 Coils	5,500	33,000	6,000	36,000	6,500	39,000
3	Main switch 60Amp	03 Nos	5,000	15,000	5,500	16,500	5,800	17,400
4	Steel nail 3"	04 Doz	60	240	70	280	75	300
5	Insolation tape	04 Nos	50	200	55	220	60	240
			<b>Total</b>	<b>336,440</b>		<b>365,000</b>		<b>384,940</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 22****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement & installation of 11 x Non Return Valve, 200 x Nut bolt (complete) steel, 10 x Packen Sheet (annual Repairing) for CB Tube Well of Saddar Peshawar & Shami Road Peshawar Cantt Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **F-5(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S AL Mansoor Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Ajmiri Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Amir Sons G.O.S Peshawar	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	Non Return valve	11 Nos	38,500	423,500	39,500	43,4500	40,500	445,500
2	Nut bolt (complete) steel	200 Nos	150	30,000	160	32,000	165	33,000
3	Packen Sheet	10kg	1,250	12,500	1,300	13,000	1350	13,500
			<b>Total</b>	<b>466,000</b>		<b>479,500</b>		<b>492,000</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 23****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of uniform for staff of CB Public School & College for Girls Khadim Hussain Road Peshawar Cantt, as per detail mentioned in comparative statement.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says** "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head G-7(b) of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S Basit Abdullah Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S AL Mansoor Traders Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Khwaja Traders Contractor Peshawar	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	Admin clerk (light grey shirt, dark grey trouser, dark grey sweater, black shoe	12 Nos	6,500	78,000	6,800	81,600	7,000	84,000
2	Aya (light grey shalwar, qameez, dupatta with dark grey ribbon on dupatta, dark green sweater, black	16 Nos	7,000	112,000	7,200	115,200	7,500	120,000

	shoe							
3	Naib Qasid/peon (light grey shalwar qameez, dark grey sweater, black shoe	12 Nos	6,200	74,400	6,500	78,000	6,850	82,200
			<b>Total</b>	<b>264,400</b>		<b>274,800</b>		<b>286,200</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 24**

**APPROVAL PROCUREMENT OF DENTAL UNIT**

**Requirement**

To consider approval for procurement of Dental Unit with Chair for Dental OPD Cantt General Hospital Peshawar Cantt.

**Brief of the case**

The MS CGH psc vide letter No.PCGH/General/594 dated 12-09-2018 forwarded the recommendation of Dental surgeon, CGH Psc stating there in that the dental unit currently available in CGH Psc is non-functional and non-reparable. The said unit also lack some important features and was repair a number of time, as such procurement of one new dental unit alongwith its chair is required.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to allow procurement of Dental Unit with Chair for Dental OPD Cantt General Hospital Peshawar Cantt or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 25**

**APPROVAL OF CONSTRUCTION OF BATHROOM**

**Requirement**

To consider report of B/R supervisor CBP, stating therein for construction of bathroom & renovation of In-charge fire brigade office, departmentally, in Cantt Board Fire Brigade Station, Peshawar Cantt.

**Budget Provision:**

An amount of Rs. 128,340/- will be incurred for the above said purpose.

Budget Provision Exists under head **E-1(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to allow construction of bathroom & renovation work in fire brigade office or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the construction of bathroom & renovation of In-charge fire brigade office, departmentally, in Cantt Board Fire Brigade Station, Peshawar Cantt as per detail / rates mentioned on agenda side.

**ITEM 26****APPROVAL PROCUREMENT OF MOTORCYCLE FOR SCHOOL****Requirement**

To consider approval for procurement of 2 x motorcycles for CB Public School & College for Girls Khadim Hussain Road & CB Public Boys School & College Tariq Road Peshawar Cantt.

**Speciation:**

Honda CD 70

**Budget Provision:**

Budget Provision Exists under head **G-7(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to allow procurement of two motorcycles (Honda CD 70) for CB Schools or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION:**

The Board considered and approved the procurement of two motorcycles (CD 70 Honda) for CB Public School & College for Girls Khadim Hussain Road & CB Public Boys School & College Tariq Road Peshawar Cantt. Rates be invited.

**ITEM 27****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement / printing of 50000 × Challan papers (four-color) for IT Section, for printing of revenue bills and other challans, Cantt Board Office, Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head A-5 of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Basit Abdullah Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Nawaz & Co Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Khwaja Traders Contractor Peshawar	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3		4		5	
Four colour challan printing	50000 Nos	4.70	235,000	5	250,000	5.20	260,000

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 28****APPROVAL PROCUREMENT OF SUZUKI PICKUP****Requirement**

To consider approval for procurement of Suzuki Pickup engine capacity: 800cc for CB Store Branch, Cantonment Board Office, Peshawar Cantt.

**Speciation:**

Suzuki pickup 800CC

**Budget Provision:**

Budget Provision Exists under head **A-5(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to allow procurement of one Suzuki Pickup for CB Store Branch, Peshawar Cantt, or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION:**

The Board considered and approved the procurement of one Suzuki Pickup 800cc for CB Store Branch, Cantt Board Office. Rates be invited.

**ITEM 29****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of 16 Nos steel pots large size for CB Office.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **A-5(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Sherry trading company.	M/S Al-Mansoor Traders G.O.S, Peshawar.	M/S Ajmiri Traders G.O.S, Peshawar.



		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3		4		5	
Steel Pots Large Size	16 Nos	9,900	158,400	10,000	160,000	10,200	163,200

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 3 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 30**

**APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of 800 x Marry Gold White, 2000 x Marry Gold Orange, 1200 x Marry Gold Yellow for CB Road, Parks, Green Belt and Chowks for Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **E-6(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S Five Star Enterprises Peshawar	M/S Al-Mansoor Traders G.O.S, Peshawar.	M/S 14 Star Traders Peshawar.

			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	Marry Gold White	800 Nos	130	104,000	131	104,800	132	105,600
2	Marry Gold Orange	2000 Nos	120	240,000	121	242,000	122	244,000
3	Marry Gold Yellow	1200 Nos	120	144,000	121	145,200	122	146,400
			<b>Total</b>	<b>488,000</b>		<b>492,000</b>		<b>496,000</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 31**

**APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of panaflex banners for CB shop of Al-Nafa Narkaz (block B & D) & Shoba Bazar Peshawar Cantt and for eve of 6<sup>th</sup> September.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says** "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **B-2(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Sr	Description	Qty	M/S 3 Star Enterprises Peshawar		M/S Golden Printing Press Peshawar		M/S Khyber Printing Press Peshawar	
			Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3	4		5		6	
1	Panaflex banners size 10 x 20	07 Nos	8,000	56,000	8,300	58,100	8,700	60,900
2	Panaflex banners size 15 x 25	05 Nos	14,000	70,000	1,4500	72,500	15,000	75,000
3	Panaflex steamer size 6 x 3	12 Nos	1,050	12,600	1,100	13,200	1,150	13,800
4	Panaflex steamer size 3x6 for Defence day	200 Nos	1,050	210,000	1,100	220,000	1,150	230,000
			<b>Total</b>	<b>348,600</b>		<b>363,800</b>		<b>379,700</b>

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 4 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-4 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 32**

**APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement of science laboratory items for CB Public School & College for Girls, Khadim Hussain Road Peshawar Cantt as per details mentioned in list as **Annex-A**.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **G-7(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Basit Abdullah Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Nawaz & Co Govt: Contractor Peshawar		M/S Khwaja Traders Contractor Peshawar	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3		4		5	
Science Laboratory items for CB School Khadim Hussain Road	(List are attached)	478,800	478,800	486,500	486,500	495,850	495,850

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25/09/2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 3 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 33****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement & installation of 900 ft PVC Panel for Children Ward of Cantt General Hospital, Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says** "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head F-1(b) of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Ajmiri Taders contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Umair Ahmad contractor, Peshawar.		M/S 14 star Traders, contractor, Peshawar.	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3		4		5	
Provision & Installation of PVC Panel.	900 ft	140	126,000	150	135,000	160	144,000

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 4 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 34****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement & installation of 54 meter rubber speed breaker for Peshawar Cantt area.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40** says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".

Budget Provision exists under head **D-2(f)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Hazrat Bilal Contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Ajmiri Traders Contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Basit Abdullah, contractor, Peshawar.	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate
1	2	3		4		5	
Provision & Installation Rubber Speed Breaker.	54 Mtr	9,000	486,000	9,100	491,400	9,150	494,100

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

ss

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 3 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 35****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement & installation of 3000 meter road marking paint line for Fort Road 7 Div to Governor House Chowk, Peshawar Cantt.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **D-2(f)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Ajmiri traders contractor, Peshawar.		M/S 14 star Traders, contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Umair Ahmad contractor, Peshawar.	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate
1	2	3		4		5	
Provision & installation Road marking paint line	3000 Mtr	160	480,000	163	489,000	165	495,000

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 3 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 36****APPROVAL OF QUOTATION**

Reference: CBR No. 34 dated 06 & 07/03/2012.

**Requirement**

To consider procurement & installation of partition glass with Aluminum door lock with motor machine with steel handle size 13' x 10' (complete job) for establishment of Building Control Cell in Cantt Board Office.

**Legal Provision**

Rates were called from the firms vide Quotation Notice as required under Rule 42(b) of the Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (PPRA) Rules, 2004.

**As per ML&C Deptt: instructions and PPRA Rules 2004, Rule 40 says "Save as otherwise provided there shall be no negotiations with the bidder having submitted the lowest evaluated bid or with any other bidder".**

Budget Provision exists under head **A-5(b)** of the Budget Estimates 2018-19.

**Comparative Statement:**

The following rates have been received from the firms mentioned against each for the provision of items in question, as mentioned in the comparative statement below:-

Description	Qty	M/S Al- Mansoor traders contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Umair Ahmads, contractor, Peshawar.		M/S Ajimiri Traders, contractor, Peshawar.	
		Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total	Unit Rate	Total
1	2	3		4		5	
Provision & installation of partition glass with Aluminium door lock with steel handle size 13' x 10' (complete job) .	130 sft	985	128,000	1,030	133,900	1050	136,500

**Recommendations of Procurement Committee:**

Rates as mentioned in column 3 above have been found lowest and reasonable (as compared to the local market rates) as verified by the Chairman of Procurement Committee in its meeting held on 25-09-2018.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates mentioned in column 3 above or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the rates mentioned in column-3 of the comparative statement given at agenda side.

**ITEM 37****APPROVAL - BEAUTIFICATION/LANDSCAPING OF ARTILLERY ROAD****Requirement**

To consider approval for procurement of the following items for beautification & landscaping on Artillery Road, Peshawar Cantt:-

- |                                   |              |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| a) Sweet Soil                     | 580 Trollies |
| b) Dhaka Fine Grass Carpet        | 20000 sft    |
| c) Group Plantation / Landscaping | Complete Job |

**Brief of the case**

Horticulturist CBP has reported and requested for provision of the above said items as required for leveling, grassing and group plantation / landscaping on Artillery Road.



**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to allow procurement of the above said items for Artillery Road or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved. Rates be invited.

**ITEM 38****APPROVAL - PROCUREMENT OF SARKANDA****Requirement**

To consider approval for procurement of the following items for Cantt Board Nursery Garden Branch, Cantt Board Peshawar:-

- a) Sarkanda 400 Bundles

**Brief of the case**

Horticulturist CBP has reported and requested for provision of the above said items as required for Cantt Board Nursery.

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to allow procurement of the above said items for CB Nursery or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved. Rates be invited.

**ITEM 39****APPROVAL - PROCUREMENT OF WINTER SEASONAL FLOWERS SEEDS****Requirement**

To consider approval for procurement of 47 x different varieties of winter seasonal flowers seeds required for Cantt Board roads including Mall Road, Fort Road, shami Road, Sahibzada Abdul Qayyum Road, Jalil Road, Bara Road, Green Belt & Centre Median of Sahibzada Gul Road, Air Port Road, Younus Road, Khyber Road all Cantt Board Parks including Khalid Bin Waleed Park, New Shami Colony Park, Kiyantai Park, DOC Park, School Road Park, Small Shami Park, Bara Road, Super Market Park, Tickon Park, Tahira Park etc & spring flower Show 2018-19.

S. No:	Name of Seeds:	No of Seeds:
01.	Petunia F-1 SK. Falcon (13 Colors)	80 Pkts(5000 seeds/Pkt )
02.	Petunia F1 SK. Hulahup(4 colors)	20Pkts(5000 seeds/Pkt)
03.	Petunia PA Sophistica	100 seeds
04.	Petunia SK Explorer F1	02 M
05.	Petunia F1 All Double Mix	02M
06.	Pansy F-1 SK. Crown( 5Colors)	30 Pkt (5000 seeds/Pkt)

07.	Alyssum. Bonnet (Two color)	20gram
08.	Sweet Pea. Tall	100gram
09.	Antirrhinum F1 Admiral (5 Colors)	10M
10.	Antirrhinum SK Sonnet (5 Colors)	5 pkts(5000 seeds/ pkt)
11.	Antirrhinum SK Shower (6 Colors)	12 Pkts(5000 seeds/ pkt)
12.	Phlox Ethine F1	10 M
13.	Salvia ST John Fire Mix F1	50gram
14.	Dianthus HZ Persian carpetF1	5gram
15.	Sweet William F1	5gram
16.	Stock TS Harmony F1	1M
17.	Stock gold cut F1	3M
18.	Mesemary	15gram
19.	Gazania F1 PA New day	10M
20.	Gazania sunshine F1	20gram
21.	Cineneria F1 HZ Amigo Mix	2M
22.	Cineneria F1 Early Perfection (4 colors)	2M
23.	Calcoeria F1 Dainty Mix	2M
24.	Mimulus F1 Mystic Mix	2M
25.	Lobelia F1 Riveira mix	01M
26.	Primula F1 SK Pagent Mix	10M
27.	Dahlia F1	01M
28.	Carnation F1 Lillipot mix (dwaf)	02M
29.	Nimesia F1 HZ Carnival Mix	05gram
30.	Renanculus F1 Mix	02M
31.	Sweet Sultan mix	05 gram
32.	Chandi Tuff mix	05gram
33.	Glad (Bulb)	80Bulbs
34.	Anemone F1	01M
35.	Aster F1 Milady Mix	05 gram
36.	Impatiens F1 New Guinea Divine	01M
37.	Meri Gold Jaffery(Bonanza)	01M
38.	Meri Gold Inca F1(02 colors)	02 Pkts (5000 seeds/Pkt)
39.	Peat Moss 300Lte bag	05Bags
40.	Name Plate	200 Nos
41.	Pansy F1 Dynamite	10M
42.	Godetia F1 Stains	01M
43.	Orn. Cabbage OsakaF1 (2 colors)	1M
44.	Orn. Kale Nagoya	1M
45.	Linaria F1 Dwaf Fairy Mix	05 gram
46.	Calendula CalypsoII	10Grm
47.	Verbena Quartz	1M

***Point(s) to be considered:***

Whether to allow procurement of 47 x different varieties of winter seasonal flowers seeds or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved. Rates be invited.

## ENGINEERING BRANCH

**ITEM 40****NOTICE UNDER SECTION 185(1) & 256 OF THE CANTONMENTS ACT, 1924**

*Reference:* CBR No. 18, dated 29-03-2016.

**Requirement**

To note / confirm the notices under Section 185(1) & 256 of the Cantonments Act, 1924 served upon the lessees of the following properties in connection with unauthorized construction carried out by them without prior approval / sanction of the Board, in the light of CBR under reference:-

**Notices issued under Section 185**

<b>S.No.</b>	<b>Name of lessee</b>	<b>Property &amp; Survey No.</b>	<b>Notice No./date</b>
1	Mr. Abdul Shakoor Jan S/o Abdul Shakur Jan	Plot No. 92, Survey No. 27/92, DOC, Shami Road	No. 32/124(92)/87322, dated 27-08-2018
2	Mr. Amir Bashir S/o Ch: Bashir Ahmad	Plot No. 25, Survey No. 93-A, DOC, Khyber Road	No. 32/115(25)/87405, dated 29-08-2018
3	Mr. Mohibullah S/o Muhammad Shoaib	Plot No. 42, Survey No. 93- A/42, DOC, Khyber Road	No. 32/115(42)/87404, dated 29-08-2018
4	Mr. Shakeel Amad Sehti	Plot No. 88, Survey No. 27/88, DOC, Shami Road	No. 32/124(88)/87403, dated 29-08-2018
5	Mr. Hidayat Shah	Plot No. 82, Survey No. 27/82, DOC, Shami Road	No. 32/124(82)/87399, dated 29-08-2018
6	Mr. Amjid Pasha Afridi & Others	Property No. 3, Survey No. 489(Pt), Saddar Road	No. 5/21(Plot No. 3)/87397, dated 29-08-2018
7	Mr. Amjid Pasha Afridi & Others	Property No. 4, Survey No. 489(Pt), Saddar Road	No. 5/21(Plot No. 4)/87398, dated 29-08-2018
8	Mr. Abdul Salam	Plot No. 118, Survey No. 88/118, DOC, Khyber Road	No. 32/115(118)/87434, dated 30-08-2018
9	Mr. Amroz Khan	Property No. 836, Survey No. 542/955, Hasrat Mohani Street	No. 6/830/87439, dated 30-08-2018
10	Mst Sadaqat Begum C/o Mr. Said Janan S/o Gulab Khan	Property Survey No. 410/B-4, Jimmy Plaza Khyber Bazar	No. 21/218/87736, dated 10-09-2018
11	M/s Mohabat Shah & Others	Property Survey No. 420/4, Shoba Bazar Psc	No. 32/112(4)/87735, dated 10-09-2018
12	M/s Abdul Shakoor & Others	Property Comprising Survey No. 598, Khadim Hussain	No. CP-598-II/88037, dated 17-09-2018

		Road, Psc	
13	M/s Asim Rehman & Others	Bungalow No. 3, Survey No. 487/C, Saddar Road, Psc	No. 5/132-II/88051, dated 17-09-2018
14	Mr. Jamal-ud-Din Ahmad Siddiqui	Plot No. 3-A, Survey No. 487/D, Saddar Road, Psc	No. 5/227/88049, dated 17-09-2018

**Notices issued under Section 256**

<b><u>S.No.</u></b>	<b><u>Name of lessee</u></b>	<b><u>Property &amp; Survey No.</u></b>	<b><u>Notice No./date</u></b>
1	Mr. Waqas Hussain S/o Iqbal Hussain	Property No. 328,329,330,I-B/2 to 10&I-B/14 & 15 Kunj Lal Hata /Liaqat Bazar	No. 6/952/87353, dated 29-08-2018
2	Mr. Muhammad Riaz S/o Karam Dam	House No. 14, Survey No. 121, Shaheed Bazar Bari Lal Kurti	No. 6/591/87554, dated 03-09-2018

**Legal Provision**

The Board vide CBR under reference considered and appreciated that it would not be expedient and proper to wait for the Board meeting for serving of certain notices as if such un-authorized acts and urgent eventualities are not taken into cognizance timely by serving of notices, it might become too late for any prevention / remedial measure. The Board, therefore, approved the proposal regarding authorization of issuance of notice under Section 126, 142, 185 & 256 of the Cantonments Act, 1924 to the CEO keeping in view the nature & urgency of the notices to be served under above sections of Cantonments Act, 1924.

The Board further resolved that detail of notices issued shall be placed before the Board in every Board meeting for Ex-post facto approval and vetting / noting / information.

**Points to be considered**

To note / confirm the notices under Section 185(1) & 256 of the Cantonments Act, 1924 in the light of CBR under reference.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board noted / confirmed.

**ITEM 41**

**APPROVAL OF TENDERS - ORIGINAL WORKS**

Reference: CBR No. 18, dated 31-8-2018.

**Requirement:**

To re-consider the rates received from CB's approved/pre-qualified contractors/firms on MES Schedule of Rates, 2014 for execution of following original works in response to CBP letters No. 14/2/Pre-qualification/E.B/86900, 86901, 86902, 86903, 86904, 86905, 86906, 86907, 86908, 86909, 86910, 86911, 86912, 86913, 86914 & 86934, dated 27-7-2018.

**History of the case:**

Pursuant to the pre-qualification notice published in dailies "Mashriq", Peshawar dated 30-6-2018, "The News" Islamabad, dated 1-7-2018 & "Jang" Rawalpindi, dated 3-7-2018 and

displayed on PPRA website vide Tender Notices No. TS 359414E, No. TS359413E & No. TS359415E.

Pre-qualification of firms / contractors were approved by the President Cantonment Board under Section 25 of the Cantonments Act, 1924.

**Legal Provision:**

Rule 62 of the Cantt Accounts Code, 1955.

Rule 16(3) of PPRA Rules, 2004 which states that *“Only suppliers or contractors who have been pre-qualified shall be entitled to participate further in the procurement proceedings.”*

**Tenders:**

Tenders were invited from the CB approved / pre-qualified contractors / firms vide CBP letters No. 14/2/Pre-qualification/E.B/86900, 86901, 86902, 86903, 86904, 86905, 86906, 86907, 86908, 86909, 86910, 86911, 86912, 86913, 86914 & 86934, dated 27-7-2018.. The same were opened on 7-8-2018 in the presence of Vice President & contractors / authorized representatives.

The case was placed before the Board for consideration. The Board vide its resolution under reference considered and deferred the case till next meeting.

**Comparative Statement:**

The comparative statement of the rates are appended below:-

S.No	Name of Firms/Contractors	Rate Offered	Remarks
<b>(1)</b>	<b>Construction of CB Medical College at Regilalma Nasir Bagh Road, Peshawar Cantt. Est Cost Rs. 336.036 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Sarhad Engineering & Electric Company	34% Above	
2	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	32.50% Above	
3	M/s Pir Muhammad & Co.	32% Above	
4	M/s Royal Builders	31% Above	
5	M/s Haji Aurangzeb Khan Gandapur & Sons	30% Above	
6	M/s Syed Mohsin Shah & Sons	28% Above	
7	M/s Hidayat Construction Company	28% Above	
8	M/s M. Younas Builders	<b>24.60% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(2)</b>	<b>Construction of CB Dental College at Regilalma Nasir Bagh Road, Peshawar Cantt. Est Cost Rs. 236.600 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	36.10% Above	
2	M/s Sarhad Engineering & Electric Company	35% Above	
3	M/s Haji Aurangzeb Khan Gandapur & Sons	30% Above	
4	M/s Syed Mohsin Shah & Sons	28.26% Above	
5	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons (JV)	27% Above	
6	M/s Pir Muhammad & Co.	26% Above	
7	M/s Hidayat Construction Company	<b>24.82% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(3)</b>	<b>Construction of Male House Officer Hostel, Hostel (Boys), Hostel (Girls/Female H Os), Auditorium, Masjid, Canteen and infrastructure i.e. construction of boundary wall, water supply network, roads, external electrification, sewerage, walkways &amp; landscaping at Regilalma Nasir Bagh Road, Peshawar Cantt. Est Cost Rs. 258.571 (M)</b>		

1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	65% Above	
2	M/s Sarhad Engineering & Electric Company	60% Above	
3	M/s Hidayat Construction Company	30% Above	
4	M/s Pir Muhammad & Co.	28% Above	
5	M/s Haji Aurangzeb Khan Gandapur & Sons	26% Above	
6	M/s Syed Mohsin Shah & Sons	<b>25% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>

**Points to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates based on MES Schedule of Rates, 2014 quoted by different contractors / firms or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the lowest percentage rates as mention in 1(8), 2(7) & 3(6) of the agenda side. Since the case was deferred by the Board vide CBR No.31-8-2018 till next Board meeting, therefore, CDRs of second lowest bidder were also retained. The same be released accordingly. The Board further resolved that work order be issue after final approval of the project.

**ITEM 42**

**APPROVAL OF M&R ESTIMATES**

**Requirement**

To consider the following necessary M&R works required to be executed on emergency basis for service / safety of the public:-

**Legal Provision**

Rule 62(b) of the Cantonment Account Code 1955.

**62 B. Contract Documents.-** Before a work is given out on contract, the Executive Officer must cause to be prepared the necessary contract documents to include : -

- (i) A complete set of drawings showing the general dimensions of the proposed work, and so far as necessary, details of the various parts;
- (ii) A complete specification of the work to be done, and of the materials to be used, unless reference can be made to some standard specification;
- (iii) A schedule of quantities of various description descriptions of work; and
- (iv) A set of "conditions of contract" to be complied with by the person whose tender is accepted.

**Detail of M/R Estimates**

Sr.	Detail of specification, quantity, status of work to be	Justification of its demand, date of last repair/execution, and state/purpose	Input/recommendations by ward member (concerned)	Amount (Rs.)	Relevant head of Budget Estimates
-----	---	---	--	--------------	-----------------------------------

	<b>executed</b>	<b>of requirement</b>			
1	Construction of 40 x RCC rubbish bins in Cantt area	Demanded by Army Authorities	Recommended by Ward Members	2.433 (M)	D-2(f)
2	M/R works in Khalid Bin Waleed Park	Official requirements	Recommended by Ward Member	2.915 (M)	D-2(f)
3	Provision of 2" dia GI & 63mm dia PE pipe water supply pipeline from Green Shadi Hall Plaza to Khair Muhammad Plaza at Saddar Road	Official requirements	Recommended by Ward Member	0.250 (M)	D-2(d)
4	Provision of GI 2" dia water supply pipeline in Chhoti Lalkurti	Official requirements	Recommended by Ward Member	0.400 (M)	D-2(d)
5	Provision of GI 2" dia water supply pipeline in link Street along Street No. 19, DOC Khyber	Official requirements	Recommended by Ward Member	0.200 (M)	D-2(d)
6	Construction of Room adjacent to ATM Room in CBP Office	Official requirements	Recommended by Ward Member	0.330 (M)	D-2(a)
7	Repair works in CB Qtr No. 3 at Bashir Lane occupied by Mst. Shameem Nazir, Sanitary Worker, Section-5	Requested by the applicant vide application dated 10-8-2018	Recommended by Ward Member	0.195 (M)	D-2(a)
8	Provision of 2" dia water supply pipeline from main road to internal Street No. 1 in Askari-V, Psc.	Official requirements	Recommended by Ward Member	0.225 (M)	D-2(d)
9	Provision of RCC slabs over drain at Artillery Road	Demanded by Army Authorities	Recommended by Wad Member	1.111(M)	D-2(c)
10	M/R of CB House No. 5, Khalid Lane	Official requirement	Recommended by Ward Member	0.560 (M)	D-2(a)

**Point(s) to be considered**

Whether to approve the above said M/R estimate(s) or otherwise?

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved 4 x M/R estimates mentioned on agenda side.

**ITEM 43****APPROVAL OF REVISED ESTIMATES - ORIGINAL WORKS**

Reference: CBRs No. 11, dated 30-1-2018 & No. 20, dated 23-2-2018

**Requirements:**

To consider the revised estimates of the following original works:-

<i>S.No.</i>	<i>Description of work</i>	<i>Sanctioned Est Cost (Rs. In M)</i>	<i>Revised Est Cost (Rs. In M)</i>	<i>Difference (Rs. in M)</i>
1	Re-vamp / uplift of Food Street, Chowk Fawara	17.050	<b>24.30</b>	7.25
2	Re-vamp / uplift of RA Bazar	13.000	<b>17.250</b>	4.250

**History of the case:**

The estimates were prepared as per the requirement of the area but during the visit of Corps Commander 11 Corps, few changes were made at site and due to which quantum of work increased from the already approved amount. The detail of new additions are as follows:-

- Uniform signage of shops
- Plastering of existing properties.
- Provision of complete shades instead of canopies
- Provision of bracket lights
- Provision of main Boards
- Addition of extra tuff tiling etc.
- Provision of planters

It is pertinent to mention here that partial fund was provided from the DG PDA for the work mentioned above at S.No. 1 and the fund was allocated for the work mentioned at S.No. 2 from the closing balance of Cantt Fund.

**Legal Provision:**

Rule 62 of the Cantt Accounts Code, 1955.

**Points to be considered:**

- Whether the case be sent to DG PDA for provision of revised amount for the work mentioned at S.No. 1 above or otherwise?
- Whether to approve the revised estimates mentioned at S.No. 1 & 2 above from the Closing Balance for the year 2018-2019 or otherwise?

The case files alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**



The Board considered the case. Since the initial jobs were funded by the PDA, therefore, case be sent to DG PDA for provision of revised amount for the work mentioned at S.No. 1 above. In case the amount is not released and agreed to by the PDA, the same be executed out of closing balance of BE for the year 2018-19. However, the project at Sr. 2 be executed out of closing balance of BE for the year 2018-19. Case be forwarded to CFA for necessary approval.

#### **ITEM 44**

##### **REGISTRATION/ENLISTMENT OF FIRM - M/S KHYBER INTERNATIONAL CONSTRUCTORS**

Reference: Application dated nil.

#### **Requirement**

To consider application under reference submitted by M/s Khyber International Constructors for registration/enlistment as approved contractor in PEC's Category C2 for participating in tendering process of development works.

#### **History of the case**

M/s Khyber International Constructors is registered firm with the Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C2 of financial limit of Rs. 1000 million under License No. 00483 and worked in different govt & semi govt depts and completed several projects.

#### **Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the registration / enlistment of M/s Khyber International Constructors as CBP approved Contractor for participating in tendering process of development works on payment of usual registration fee or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

#### **RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved registration/enlistment of M/s Khyber International Constructors, as approved contractor for participating in tendering process of development works, subject to payment of usual registration fee.

#### **ITEM 45**

##### **APPROVAL OF TENDERS - ORIGINAL WORK**

#### **Requirement:**

To consider the rates received from CB's approved/pre-qualified contractors/firms on MES Schedule of Rates, 2014 and market rates for non-schedule items for execution of original work i.e. up-gradation of Cantonment General Hospital, (addition/alteration of old block, existing flats, elevators, transformers, floor standing ACs and standby generators), Sunehri Masjid Road, Peshawar Cantt in response to CBP letters, dated 31-8-2018.

#### **History of the case:**

Pursuant to the pre-qualification notice published in daily "The News", daily "Nawa-e-Waqt" & daily "Mashriq", dated 6-7-2018 and displayed on PPRA website vide Tender

Notice No.TS359902E, dated 9-7-2018 for original and annual maintenance / repair works for the year 2018-2019. Pre-qualification of firms / contractors were approved by the Board vide CBR No.36, dated 31-7-2018.

**Legal Provision:**

Rule 62 of the Cantt Accounts Code, 1955.

Rule 16(3) of PPRA Rules, 2004 which states that *“Only suppliers or contractors who have been pre-qualified shall be entitled to participate further in the procurement proceedings.”*

**Tenders:**

Tenders were invited from the CB approved / pre-qualified contractors / firms vide CBP letters No. 14/2/Pre-qualification/E.B/87455, 87456, 87457, 87458, 87459, 87460, 87461, 87462, 87463, 87464, 87465, 87466, 87467, 87468, 87469, 87470, 87471, 87472, 87473, 87474, 87475, 87476, 87477, 87478, 87479, 87480, 87481, 87482, 87483, 87484, 87485, 87486 & 87487, dated 31-8-2018.. The same were opened on 14-9-2018 in the presence of Vice President, elected member & contractors/authorized representatives.

**Comparative Statement:**

The comparative statement of the rates is appended below:-

S#	Name of Firms/Contractors	Rate Offered		Rate Offered		Remarks
		Based on MESSR-2014		Market Rate Items		
		Civil	Electric	Elevator	Generator 400 KVA	
1	M/s Rehman Construction Company	31% Above	31% Above	28000000.00 (each)	15000000.00 (each)	
2	M/s Wajid Iqbal & Co.	30% Above	31% Above	24000000.00 (each)	14000000.00 (each)	
3	M/s Haji Aurangzeb Khan Gandapur & Sons	30% Above	30% Above	24000000.00 (each)	13000000.00 (each)	
4	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	28% Above	28% Above	22500000.00 (each)	11000000.00 (each)	
5	M/s MFFA Group	26% Above	26% Above	29000000.00 (each)	12000000.00 (each)	
6	M/s Jee Construction Company	25% Above	25% Above	30000000.00 (each)	11500000.00 (each)	
7	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	19.89% Above	19.89% Above	18000000.00 (both)	10000000.00 (both)	<b>(Lowest)</b>

**Points to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates quoted by M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the lowest rates quoted by M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar for the projects / works as mentioned on agenda side.

## **ITEM 46**

### **COMPOSITION FEE - INSTALLMENTS**

Reference: CBR No. 24(2), dated 14 & 17-4-2017.

#### **Requirements:**

To consider application dated 16-5-2018 submitted by M/s Wazir Khan & Other requesting therein for grant of permission for payment of composition fee & other charges amounting to Rs.26,85,477/- in five monthly installments in respect of Shop No. G-23 & G-24, ground floor, Survey No.542/B,C,&D, Bilour Plaza, Peshawar Cantt

#### **History of the case:**

M/s Wazir Khan & Sadiq Ullah Ss/o Muhammad Gul on behalf of M/s Bashir Ahmad Bilour & Others submitted regularization building plan on 19-01-2017 for regularization of unauthorized construction as per detail below;

- Un-authorized construction of bath room
- Un-authorized construction of mezzanine floor
- Merging of Shops No.23 & 24 (mezzanine floor)

The regularization building plans were placed before the BC/Board for consideration. The Board vide CBR No. 24(2), dated 14 & 17-04-2017 approved the regularization building plans subject payment of Rs.30,21,249/- on account of composition fee. The applicants were informed to deposit the composition fee alongwith other building charges vide CBP letters No. 6/90-IV/G23/G24/76429, dated 09-04-2017 & No. 6/90-IV/G23/G24/17534, dated 13-06-2017.

The applicants appeared before the DML&C, Peshawar instead of depositing the amount to review the said composition. The Appellate Authority i.e. Director Military Lands & Cantonments, Peshawar Region, Peshawar Cantt vide orders dated 21-02-2018 has revised the composition fee from Rs. 30,21,249/- to Rs. 26,76,271/-. CBP vide letter No. 6/90-IV/G23/G24/85071, dated 8-5-2018 informed the lessees to deposit the revised composition fee within 07 days.

In response thereof, the lessees have submitted application dated 16-5-2018 requesting therein to deposit the composition fee alongwith other charges in five equal installments.

#### **Audit Observations:**

During the course of audit in the year 2007, the Audit Authorities vide OL No. 27 had objected non-recovery of composition fee amounting to Rs.30,21,249/-.

#### **Points to be considered:**

Whether to allow M/s Wazir Khan & Other to deposit the composition fee & other charges amounting to Rs. 26,85,477/- in five equal installments or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved the request of M/s Wazir Khan & Other to deposit the composition fee & other charges amounting to Rs. 26,85,477/- in three equal monthly installments. Recovery be ensured timely.

**ITEM 47****REGISTRATION/ENLISTMENT OF FIRM - M/S KHALIL CONSTRUCTION PESHAWAR**

Reference: Application dated nil.

**Requirements:**

To consider application under reference submitted by M/s Khalil Construction Peshawar for registration/enlistment as approved contractor in PEC's Category C3 for participating in tendering process of development works.

**History of the case**

M/s Khyber International Constructors is registered firm with the Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C3 of financial limit of Rs. 500 million under License No. 2015 and worked in different govt & semi govt depts and completed several projects.

**Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the registration / enlistment of M/s Khalil Construction Peshawar as CBP approved Contractor for participating in tendering process of development works on payment of usual registration fee or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved registration/enlistment of M/s Khalil Construction Peshawar, as approved contractor for participating in tendering process of development works, subject to payment of usual registration fee.

**ITEM 48****APPROVAL OF TENDERS - ORIGINAL WORK**

Reference: Budget Estimates for the year 2018-2019.

**Requirements:**

To consider the rates received from CB's approved/pre-qualified contractors/firms on MES Schedule of Rates, 2014 for execution of original and annual maintenance/repair works for the year 2018-2019 in response to CBP letters, dated 17-9-2018.

**History of the case:**

Pursuant to the pre-qualification notice published in daily "The News", daily "Nawa-e-Waqt" & daily "Mashriq", dated 6-7-2018 and displayed on PPRA website vide Tender Notice No.TS359902E, dated 9-7-2018 for original and annual maintenance / repair works

for the year 2018-2019. Pre-qualification of firms / contractors were approved by the Board vide CBR No.36, dated 31-7-2018.

**Legal Provision:**

Rule 62 of the Cantt Accounts Code, 1955.

Rule 16(3) of PPRA Rules, 2004 which states that *“Only suppliers or contractors who have been pre-qualified shall be entitled to participate further in the procurement proceedings.”*

Clause 10 of the tender notice which states that *“In case if the lowest tendered rates are less than reasonable rates, the lowest bidder shall have to deposit Standing Security (refundable after successful completion of the work) @ 12% of the estimated cost in shape of call deposit within 07 days. In case of failure the already deposited 5% earnest money shall be forfeited and the tender will be cancelled/re-invited.”*

**Tenders:**

Tenders were invited from the CB approved / pre-qualified contractors / firms vide CBP letters No. 14/2/Pre-qualification/E.B/87956, 87957, 87958, 87959, 87960, 87961, 87962, 87963, 87964, 87965, 87966, 87967, 87968, 87969, 87970, 87971, 87972, 87973, 87974, 87975, 87976, 87977, 87978, 87979, 87980, 87981, 87982, 87983, 87984, 87985, 87986, 87987, 87988, 87989, 87990, 87991, 87992, 87993, dated 17-9-2018. The same were opened on 29-9-2018 in the presence of Vice President, elected members & contractors/authorized representatives.

**Comparative Statement:**

The comparative statement of the rates is appended below:-

S.No	Name of Firms/Contractors	Rate Offered	Remarks
<b>BUILDINGS</b>			
<b>(1)</b>	<b>Construction of Fruits &amp; Vegetable Market (20 x shops) at S.M. Road, Est Cost Rs. 6.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10.12% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
3	M/s Punjab Traders	9.93% Above	
4	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	7.86% Above	
5	M/s Emerging Technologies	5.75% Above	
6	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	5% Below	
7	M/s Pak Light House Engineers & Builders	3% Above	
8	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>5.65% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(2)</b>	<b>Provision of varandah / re-shaping of CB High School &amp; College for Boys existing Block situated in Tariq Road, Est Cost Rs. 10.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	17.86% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
3	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
4	M/s Emerging Technologies	3% Above	
5	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	0.66% Below	
6	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>6.10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(3)</b>	<b>Construction of Class-IV Flat at Chhoti Lalkurti, Est Cost Rs. 18.750 (M)</b>		

1	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	9% Above	
3	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	7.86% Above	
4	M/s Emerging Technologies	5.75% Above	
5	M/s Punjab Traders	3% Above	
6	M/s S. Basit Ali & Co.	2% Above	
7	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	AT PAR	
8	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.35% Below	
9	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>1.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(4)</b>	<b>Construction of Group Latrines in Shoba Bazar, Est Cost Rs. 1.380 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	25% Above	
2	M/s Pak Light House Engineers & Builders	AT PAR	
3	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	2% Below	
4	M/s FFK Construction Company	2% Below	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	7.95% Below	
6	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(5)</b>	<b>Construction of First Floor on Community Hall, Chhoti Lalkurti, Est Cost Rs. 3.500 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	12% Above	
2	M/s S. Basit Ali & Co.	8% Above	
3	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>AT PAR</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(6)</b>	<b>Construction of Community Hall at CB Colony, Gulberg Road, Est Cost Rs. 5.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	15% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
3	M/s Syed Musawar Shah & Co.	9.50% Above	
4	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	7.86% Above	
5	M/s Emerging Technologies	4.77% Above	
6	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	0.66% Below	
7	M/s FFK Construction Company	5.50% Below	
8	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>12.14% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(7)</b>	<b>Construction of CB Flats situated at 35 Ground Latrines, S.M. Road, Est Cost Rs. 25.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
3	M/s Hidayat Construction Company	7.86% Above	
4	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	4% Above	
5	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	3% Above	
6	M/s Punjab Traders	0.50% Above	
7	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>0.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(8)</b>	<b>Construction of Flats at the site of old quarters at Rafique Lane, Est Cost Rs. 23.420 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
3	M/s Shahryar Builder Peshawar	9.87% Above	

4	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	7% Above	
5	M/s Emerging Technologies	2% Above	
6	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.56% Below	
7	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	0.66% Below	
8	M/s Punjab Traders	<b>7.86% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(9)</b>	<b>Construction of Community Centre at LRH Colony, Est Cost Rs. 5.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
2	M/s Parcon Associates	9.91% Above	
3	M/s Emerging Technologies	4.77% Above	
4	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>0.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(10)</b>	<b>Construction of Security Wall towards Canal DOC Khyber, Est Cost Rs. 4.200 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
2	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	7.86% Above	
3	M/s New Shah Construction Company	5% Above	
4	M/s S. Basit Ali & Co.	2% Above	
5	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	0.66% Below	
6	M/s Syed Musawar Shah & Co.	<b>1.89% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>ROADS/STREETS</b>			
<b>(11)</b>	<b>Re-carpeting of Younas Lane using (JMF), Est Cost Rs. 0.880 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Mohmand Construction Company (Pvt) Ltd.	30% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	15% Above	
3	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	10% Above	
4	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	3.44% Below	
5	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	<b>5.25% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(12)</b>	<b>Re-carpeting of Jheel Road using (JMF) from Mall Road to Tariq Road through Tariq Lane, Est Cost Rs. 12.162 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Mohmand Construction Company (Pvt) Ltd.	20% Above	
2	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	10% Above	
3	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
4	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
5	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	AT PAR	
6	M/s Emerging Technologies	AT PAR	
7	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	<b>5.03% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(13)</b>	<b>Improvement / re-carpeting (JMF) of Rafique Lane from main Sahibzada Gul Road to Younas Road, Est Cost Rs. 8.074 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Mohmand Construction Company (Pvt) Ltd.	20% Above	
2	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	10% Above	
3	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
4	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
5	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	5.65% Below	
6	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	<b>5.95% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(14)</b>	<b>Improvement / re-carpeting (JMF) of Tariq Road from Mall</b>		

	<b>Road to Railway Station, Est Cost Rs. 4.672 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Mohmand Construction Company (Pvt) Ltd.	30% Above	
2	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	10% Above	
3	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
4	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
5	M/s Emerging Technologies	1% Below	
6	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	5.02% Below	
7	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	<b>5.45% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b><u>DRAINAGE/ SEWERAGE</u></b>			
<b>(15)</b>	<b>Construction of drain along-with Footpath Both Side at Fakhar-e-Alam Road From SM Road to Mall Road, Est Cost Rs. 23.349 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Parcon Associates	11.48% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
3	M/s Punjab Traders	7.86% Above	
4	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.29% Below	
5	M/s New Shah Construction Company	7% Above	
6	M/s AJ & Co. (JV)	5.55% Below	
7	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	5.65% Below	
8	M/s Emerging Technologies	4.30% Below	
9	M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.	10% Below	<b>(Lowest)</b>
10	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
	<i>M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co. has surrendered in favour of M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed &amp; Co.</i>		
<b>(16)</b>	<b>Construction of PCC drain &amp; tuff pavers at Johar Street &amp; link Street Saddar Bazar, Est Cost Rs. 3.812 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Parcon Associates	15.16% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
3	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(17)</b>	<b>Provision of PCC drain and tuff pavers in 5/3 Raza Shah Shaheed Road, Est Cost Rs. 3.080 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	15% Above	
2	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(18)</b>	<b>Construction of drain and road at street along St. Michael School, Sunehri Masjid Road, Est Cost Rs. 2.240 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	25% Above	
2	M/s Parcon Associates	7.16% Above	
3	M/s Emerging Technologies	4.90% Above	
4	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.52% Below	
5	M/s Syed Musawar Shah & Co.	1.55% Below	
6	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	6.66% Below	
7	M/s Safdar Khan	<b>6.98% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(19)</b>	<b>Construction of drain and tuff pavers at internal lanes &amp; compounds in Shaheed Bazar (Bari Lalkurti), Est Cost Rs. 7.200 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	15% Above	



2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
3	M/s Emerging Technologies	3% Above	
4	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	4.50% Below	
5	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.32% Below	
6	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>12.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(20)</b>	<b>Provision of sewerage line 600mm dia, tuff pavers 80mm thick, GI water supply pipeline 50mmdia in complete Al-Nafa Markas, Shoba Bazar, Est Cost Rs. 15.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	25% Above	
2	M/s Punjab Traders	16.10% Above	
3	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	7.86% Above	
4	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.53% Below	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>3% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(21)</b>	<b>Provision of RCC drain on one side at Saddar Road From Mian Iqbal Chowk to Fakhar-e-Alam Road, Est Cost Rs. 37.455 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	20% Above	
2	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	8% Above	
3	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	10% Below	(Lowest)
4	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
	<i>M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed &amp; Co. has surrendered in favour of M/s Wajid Ali Khan &amp; Sons</i>		
<b>(22)</b>	<b>Provision of RCC drain on one side at Arbab Road from Mall Road to Saddar Road, Est Cost Rs. 12.485 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	22% Above	
2	M/s Rehman Construction Company	10% Below	(Lowest)
3	M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
	<i>M/s Rehman Construction Company has surrendered in favour of M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.</i>		
<b>(23)</b>	<b>Construction of all drains in Aziz Building, Est Cost Rs. 3.200 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	5% Above	
2	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(24)</b>	<b>Construction of drain in remaining portion of Mall Road from Fakhar-e-Alam Road to Hong Kong Chinese Restaurant with one culvert, Est Cost Rs. 8.896 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Punjab Traders	7.86% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	5% Above	
3	M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.	10% Below	
4	M/s FFK Construction Company	7.86% Below	
5	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	12% Below	
6	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>13.20% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(25)</b>	<b>Construction of drain along-with footpath one side at GPO Lane from SM Road to Saddar Road, Est Cost Rs. 2.800 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	30% Above	
2	M/s Syed Musawar Shah & Co.	0.56% Below	
3	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	5% Below	
4	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	10% Below	
5	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>17.52% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>

<b>(26)</b>	<b>Construction of PCC drain on both sides from Chowk Fawara to GPO Lane Chowk, Est Cost Rs. 7.168 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Parcon Associates	8.90% Above	
2	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>6.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(27)</b>	<b>Provision of PCC drain and tuff pavers in link street along CB School, Tariq Road from Artillery Road to Tariq Road, Est Cost Rs. 2.275 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Emerging Technologies	2.80% Above	
2	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.52% Below	
3	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	<b>6.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(28)</b>	<b>Construction of PCC drain and street in Police Line Colony, Est Cost Rs. 0.800 (M)</b>		
1	M/s FFK Construction Company	AT PAR	
2	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	3% Below	
3	M/s Safdar Khan	7.90% Below	
4	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>11.12% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>WATER SUPPLY</b>			
<b>(29)</b>	<b>Replacement of old water supply network in New Shami, Est Cost Rs. 12.943 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	72% Above	
2	M/s Zenith Construction & Engineering	68% Above	
3	M/s Wajid Iqbal & Co.	65% Above	
4	M/s Punjab Traders	63% Above	
5	M/s AJ & Co. (JV)	<b>58.55% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(30)</b>	<b>Replacement of old water supply network in DOC Khyber, Est Cost Rs. 7.883 (M)</b>		
1	M/s AJ & Co. (JV)	57% Above	
2	M/s MFFA Group	75% Above	
3	M/s Zenith Construction & Engineering	55% Above	
4	M/s Haji Aurangzeb Khan Gandapur & Sons	50.55% Above	
5	M/s New Shah Construction Company	<b>48% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(31)</b>	<b>Replacement of old water supply network in Dabgari Garden, Est Cost Rs. 3.095 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	<b>49.98% Above</b>	<i>(Single Tender)</i>
<b>(32)</b>	<b>Replacement of old water supply network in Saddar, Est Cost Rs. 25.866 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Syed Musawar Shah & Co.	71% Above	
2	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	69% Above	
3	M/s Punjab Traders	68% Above	
4	M/s Zenith Construction & Engineering	65% Above	
5	M/s AJ & Co. (JV)	<b>58% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(33)</b>	<b>Replacement of Old pipes in Aziz Building, Est Cost Rs. 0.730 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	<b>45% Above</b>	<i>(Single Tender)</i>
<b>(34)</b>	<b>Installation of Tube Well in Bashir Lane, Est Cost Rs. 7.144 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Parcon Associates	21.93% Above	

2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	5% Above	
3	M/s S. Basit Ali & Co.	5% Above	
4	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	AT PAR	
5	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	5% Below	
6	M/s Pak Light House Engineers & Builders	10% Below	
7	M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.	10% Below	
8	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>17.11% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(35)</b>	<b>Installation of Tube Well in RA Bazar, Est Cost Rs. 7.144 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Parcon Associates	20.39% Above	
2	M/s S. Basit Ali & Co.	5% Above	
3	M/s New Shah Construction Company	3% Above	
4	M/s Emerging Technologies	5% Below	
5	M/s Pak Light House Engineers & Builders	10% Below	
6	M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.	10% Below	
7	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>16.10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(36)</b>	<b>Replacement of old water supply network in Askari-II, Bara Road, Est Cost Rs. 11.613 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Rehman Construction Company	51% Above	
2	M/s Haji Aurangzeb Khan Gandapur & Sons	50% Above	
3	M/s AJ & Co. (JV)	49% Above	
4	M/s Wajid Iqbal & Co.	42% Above	
5	M/s Punjab Traders	<b>39% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(37)</b>	<b>Replacement of old water supply network at Falcon Complex, Sher Khan Road, Est Cost Rs. 6.250 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	<b>30% Above</b>	<i>(Single Tender)</i>
<b>(38)</b>	<b>Replacement of old water supply network in Railway Colony, Washing Lane, Est Cost Rs. 1.500 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	<b>42% Above</b>	<i>(Single Tender)</i>
<b>(39)</b>	<b>Installation of 8 x water filtration plants in Cantt area (Railway Colony, Washing Line Colony, LRH Colony, Police Line Colony, VRI Colony, MET Colony, Dabgari Garden &amp; Colony adjacent to Chinar Building), Est Cost Rs. 7.200 (M)</b>		
1	M/a FFK Construction Company	AT PAR (For Civil Work) Rs.4,20,000/- (For each plant)	<i>(Single Tender)</i>
<b>(40)</b>	<b>Provision of water supply networking in VRI Colony, Est Cost Rs. 1.400 (M)</b>		
	-	<i>(No tender received)</i>	
<b>MISC PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT</b>			
<i>Civil Works</i>			
<b>(41)</b>	<b>Construction of Foot Path at Babar Road, Est Cost Rs. 3.100 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Punjab Traders	7.86% Above	
2	M/s FFK Construction Company	5.20% Above	
3	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	2.50% Above	

4	M/s Emerging Technologies	2% Above	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	5% Below	
6	M/s Safdar Khan	6.50% Below	
7	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>10.12% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(42)</b>	<b>Provision of Tuff Pavers in Jan Sher Khan Street at Mall Road, Est Cost Rs. 0.725 (M)</b>		
1	M/s FFK Construction Company	3% Above	
2	M/s Rehman Construction Company	1% Below	
3	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	5% Below	
4	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>12.10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(43)</b>	<b>Construction of safety wall &amp; erection of missing grills to main drain at Railway Road upto Dabgari Garden, Est Cost Rs. 2.500 (M)</b>		
1	M/s FFK Construction Company	5.20% Above	
2	M/s Emerging Technologies	4.75% Above	
3	M/s Rehman Construction Company	1.66% Below	
4	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>13.10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(44)</b>	<b>Provision of tuff pavers in All lanes of Aziz Building, Est Cost Rs. 2.700 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Rehman Construction Company	3.66% Below	
2	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	<b>5.45% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(45)</b>	<b>Construction of ablution place, Toilets and Shed for Janaza-Gah in CB Grave yard at Warsak Road, Est Cost Rs. 2.500 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	25% Above	without CDR
2	M/s Hamayun Ul Rasheed & Co.	20% Above	Without CDR
3	M/s FFK Construction Company	18% Above	
4	M/s New Shah Construction Company	12% Above	
5	M/s S. Basit Ali & Co.	10% Above	
6	M/s Syed Musawar Shah & Co.	0.75% Below	
7	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>10% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(46)</b>	<b>Provision of tuff pavers in Jinnah Street (remaining portion), Est Cost Rs. 0.725 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>1% Below</b>	<b>(Single Tender)</b>
<b>(47)</b>	<b>Provision of tuff pavers in Juma Khan Ahata, Est Cost Rs. 2.200 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Rehman Construction Company	3% Below	
2	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	<b>5.45% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(48)</b>	<b>Rehabilitation of Public Park in Falcon Complex 68 &amp; 69 The Mall 69, Est Cost Rs. 4.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Aamir Tahir & Co.	18.25% Above	
2	M/s Punjab Traders	7.86% Above	
3	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	1.50% Below	
4	M/s Rehman Construction Company	3% Below	
5	M/s Safdar Khan	5% Below	
6	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	5.45% Below	
7	M/s Emerging Technologies	9.70% Below	
8	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>14% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>

<b>(49)</b>	<b>Rehabilitation of Public Park in Askari-V, Shaheen Camp, Est Cost Rs. 2.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Aamir Tahir & Co.	17.99% Above	
2	M/s Punjab Traders	7.86% Above	
3	M/s FFK Construction Company	AT PAR	
4	M/s Emerging Technologies	AT PAR	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>2% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(50)</b>	<b>Improvement of 4 x entry gate in Askari-II, Bara Road, Est Cost Rs. 2.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>1% Below</b>	<i>(Single Tender)</i>
<b>(51)</b>	<b>Uplift/re-vamp of Chhoti Lalkurti, Est Cost Rs. 20.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Shahryar Builders Peshawar	17.86% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	10% Above	
3	M/s S. Basit Ali & Co.	1.50% Above	
4	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.85% Below	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	1.66% Below	
6	M/s Punjab Traders	<b>2% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(52)</b>	<b>Provision / Installation of Sodium 250W Street Lights with proper Poles and wiring at School Road / Hospital Road /Khalid Lane/Khalid Road, Peshawar Cantt. Estimated Cost Rs. 9.730 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	25% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	20% Above	
3	M/s Aamir Tahir & Co.	18.99% Above	
4	M/s Shahryar Builders	17.86% Above	
5	M/s Mumtaz Ali & Company	2.30% Above	
6	M/s Rehman Construction Company	3.66% Below	
7	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	<b>5 % Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(53)</b>	<b>Provision / installation of Street Lights with proper pole, wiring at Army Flat Gate No.1 to 5, Bara Road. Estimated cost Rs. 12.860 (M).</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction	25% Above	
2	M/s Aamir Tahir & Co	18.95% Above	
3	M/s New Shah Construction	18 % Above	
4	M/s Mumtaz Ali & Co	1.50% Above	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	4% Below	(Lowest)
6	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	<b>4% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
	<i>M/s Rehman Construction Company has surrendered his equal rates in favour of M/s Syed Khan &amp; Co at the time of opening of tenders</i>		
<b>(54)</b>	<b>Provision / Replacement of LED light by providing poles , Lights and underground wiring from Bara Gate to Sir Syed Chowk, Khyber Road Psc. Estimated cost Rs.27.766 (M).</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	25% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	20 % Above	
3	M/s Syed Khan & Co	3 % Above	
4	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	0.5 % Below	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>3.66 % Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>

(55)	<b>Replacement of LED Lights at Mall Road in front of APS School to CNC House &amp; Sahibzada Gul Road, Peshawar Cantt. Estimated Cost Rs.16.320 (M).</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	22% Above	
2	M/s Parcon Associates	17.23% Above	
3	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	2.0% Below	
4	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	4% Below	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>5.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
(56)	<b>Provision / Installation of Sodium 250W Street Lights with proper Poles and wiring at DOC, Khyber Road, Peshawar Cantt.. Estimated cost Rs.19.022 (M).</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	22% Above	
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	20 % Above	
3	M/s Aamir Tahir & Co.	18.90% Above	
4	M/s Rehman Construction Company	4.66% Below	
5	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	<b>5%Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
(57)	<b>Provision / Installation of Street Lights with proper Poles and wiring at Shoba Bazar in front of Block A to F. Estimated cost Rs.2.908 (M).</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	22% Above	
2	M/s Emerging Technologies	07% Above	
3	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>5.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
(58)	<b>Provision / Installation of Street Lights with proper poles and wiring at Kafla Road, Street No.17 to Gora Qabristan, Psc. Estimated cost Rs. 2.330 (M).</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	22% Above	
2	M/s Emerging Technologies	10 % Above	
3	M/s Engineer S.S. Shah Bukhari & Co.	<b>0.15% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
(59)	<b>Provision / Installation of Street Lights with proper poles and wiring at Tariq Road in front of Nogazi Baba. Estimated cost Rs.6.571 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	22% Above	
2	M/s Aamir Tahir & Co.	17.99% Above	
3	M/s Mumtaz Ali & Company	02% Above	
4	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	<b>04% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>ANNUAL MAINTENANCE / REPAIR</b>			
(60)	<b>Annual Maintenance / Repair of Cantt Buildings, Est Cost Rs. 20.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	9.75% Above	
3	M/s Punjab Traders	9.10% Above	
4	M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.	AT PAR	
5	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.55% Below	
6	M/s Rehman Construction Company	<b>5.66% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
(61)	<b>Annual Maintenance / Repair of Cantt Fund Roads through plant carpet (Asphalt using JMF) and premix carpet (using bitumen &amp; crushed stone), Est Cost Rs. 20.000 (M)</b>		
		<i>Plant Carpet</i>	<i>Premix Carpet</i>

1	M/s Mohmand Construction Company (Pvt) Ltd.	20% Above	20% Above
2	M/s New Shah Construction Company	10% Above	10% Above
3	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	9.75% Above	10% Above
4	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	<b>5.05% Below (Lowest)</b>	<b>5.05% Below (Lowest)</b>
<b>(62)</b>	<b>Annual Maintenance / Repair of Cantt Fund Drainage / Sewerage, Est Cost Rs. 20.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s New Shah Construction Company	25% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	9.75% Above	
3	M/s Parcon Associates	9.13% Above	
4	M/s Punjab Traders	7.86% Above	
5	M/s Rehman Construction Company	8.66% Below	
6	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.83% Below	
7	M/s FFK Construction Company	<b>9.50% Below</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(63)</b>	<b>Annual Maintenance / Repair of Cantt Fund Water Supply, Est Cost Rs. 15.000 (M)</b>		
1	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	76% Above	
2	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	71.65% Above	
3	M/s JEE Construction Company	68% Above	
4	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	62% Above	
5	M/s New Shah Construction Company	40% Above	
6	M/s Sitara Engineering Construction Co.	38% Above	
7	M/s AJ & Co. (JV)	<b>36.58% Above</b>	<b>(Lowest)</b>
<b>(64)</b>	<b>Annual Maintenance / Repair of Misc Public Improvement Works i.e. footpaths, centre medians, beautification works, parks, monuments, flower beds, fountains &amp; electrification etc., Est Cost Rs. 40.000 (M)</b>		
		<i>Civil Works</i>	<i>Electrification Works</i>
1	M/s Siraj Ul Haq & Sons	9.50% Above	9% Above
2	M/s Parcon Associates	8.17% Above	6.18% Above
3	M/s Haji Muhammad Saeed & Sons	5% Above	5.90% Above
4	M/s Syed Khan & Co.	4% Below	5% Below
5	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	0.35% Below	15.02% Above
6	M/s Emerging Technologies	-	2.50% Above
7	M/s Rehman Construction Company	6.66% Below	6.66% Below
8	M/s Adnan Utmanzai Construction Company	4.25% Below	AT PAR
9	M/s Wajid Ali Khan & Sons	<b>10% Below (Lowest)</b>	<b>10.10% Below (Lowest)</b>

**Points to be considered:**

Whether to approve the lowest rates quoted by different contractors / firms based on MES Schedule of Rates, 2014 received in response to Cantt Board Peshawar letters dated 17-9-2018 or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered & resolved as under: -

<b>Sr No.</b>	<b>Work at S.No.</b>	<b>Board decision</b>
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 34, 35, 36, 41, 42, 43, 45, 48, 49, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63 & 64	Approved subject to deposit of security as per clause (10) of tender notice @ 12% and 5% of CDR
2	29, 30, & 32	Approved
3	17, 23, 26, 31, 33, 37, 38, 39, (40 no tender received), 44, 46, 47 & 50	Since, single or double tender rates received instead of three tenders, the same need to be re-advertised

#### **ITEM 49**

##### **REGISTRATION/ENLISTMENT OF FIRM - M/S GUL ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION COMPANY (PRIVATE) LIMITED**

Reference: Application dated nil.

#### **Requirement**

To consider application under reference submitted by M/s Gul Engineering Construction Company (Private) Limited for registration/enlistment as approved contractor in PEC's Category C3 for participating in tendering process of development works.

#### **History of the case**

M/s Gul Engineering Construction Company (Private) Limited is registered firm with the Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C3 of financial limit of Rs. 1000 million under License No. 1848 and worked in different Govt & Semi Govt Deptts and completed several projects.

#### **Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the registration / enlistment M/s Gul Engineering Construction Company (Private) Limited as CBP approved Contractor for participating in tendering process of development works on payment of usual registration fee or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

#### **RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved registration/enlistment of M/s Gul Engineering Construction Company (Private) Limited, as approved contractor in PEC's Category C3 for participating in tendering process of development works, subject to payment of usual registration fee.

#### **ITEM 50**

##### **EXECUTION OF M/R WORKS ON ALREADY APPROVED RATES**

**Reference:** CBR No. 27, dated 30-1-2018.



**Requirement:**

To consider and approve the execution of maintenance / repair works on already approved rates for the year 2017-18 till the approval of new rates for the year 2018-19.

**History of the case:**

Tenders were called for execution of annual maintenance / repair works for the year 2017-18 and rates were approved by the Board vide resolution under reference. The detail is as under;

S.No.	Name of work	Name of Contractor	Approved Rates
1	Annual maintenance / repair of Cantt Fund Buildings, Estimated Cost Rs. 25.00 (M)	M/s Siraj-ul-Haq & Sons	15% Below
2	Annual Maintenance / Repair of Cantt Fund Roads through plant carpet (Asphalt using JMF) and premix carpet (using bitumen & crushed stone), Estimated Cost Rs. 10.00 (M)	M/s Hasht Nagar Construction Company	5.03% Above
3	Annual Maintenance / Repair of Cantt Fund Drainage / Sewerage, Estimated Cost Rs. 10.00 (M)	M/s FFK Construction Company	9% Above (Lowest)
4	Annual Maintenance / Repair of Cantt Fund Water Supply, Estimated Cost Rs. 10.00 (M)	M/s Wadan Construction Company	37% Above (Lowest)
5	Annual Maintenance / Repair of Misc Public Improvement Works i.e. footpaths, centre medians, beautification works, parks, monuments, flower beds, fountains & electrification etc., Estimated Cost Rs. 35.00 (M)	M/s Aamir Tahir & Co.	6% Below (Civil Works)
		M/s Saeed-ud-Din Shah	4% Below (Electrification Works)

**Points to be considered:**

Whether to execute the M/R works on already approved rates as mentioned above till the approval of new rates for the year 2018-19 or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved to grant ex-post facto sanction for the M/R work executed since July 2018 till the date of approval of fresh rates for the year 2018-19 on previous approved rates.

**ITEM 51**

**PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION / UN-AUTHORIZED CONSTRUCTION ON 2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR  
IN SMALL RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES**

Reference: Peshawar Cantonment Board (Building) Bye-Laws, 2016 notified vide S.R.O. 1077(1)2016, Islamabad dated 22-11-2016.

**Requirement**

To consider Clause 22(a) (ii) of the Building Bye-Laws which is reproduced as under:-

*“Board on the merits of the case, additional floor may be allowed by the Board upto 350 square yards plots for expanded families.”*

**History of the case**

A large number of lessees of small size residential properties whenever applied for issuance of NOC/NDC or transfer /mutation etc, CBP regret their requests due to unauthorized construction on second floor (beyond the permissible height).

The lessees of these small residential properties are requesting time and again for approval of additional floor / regularization of unauthorized constructions already carried out on second floor.

**Points to be considered**

Whether to approve / regularize the additional floor / unauthorized construction on second floor in respect of small residential properties in the light of Clause 22(a) (ii) of the Building Bye-Laws or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board’s decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and resolved to constitute an anomaly committee comprising the following for recommendations of appropriate decision by the Board in line with the spirit of bye-laws. The committee shall give their recommendations for consideration in the next Board Meeting and as required from time to time, and case to case basis: -

- (i) Elected Member, W-2
- (ii) Elected Member, W-3
- (iii) Elected Member, W-4
- (iv) Chief Cantt Engineer
- (v) Assistant Cantt Engineer

**ITEM 52**

**AMENDMENT IN CBR NO.17 DATED 24-5-2018 - FORMULA / METHODOLOGY /  
PROCEDURE FOR FIXATION COMPOSITION FEES**

**Requirement:**

To consider the following amendment in CBR No.17 dated 24-5-2018 (Sr No.7): -

*“the rebate shall be allowed in respect of residential properties only”*

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the amendment or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision

**RESOLUTION:**

The Board considered and approved the following amendment in CBR No.17 dated 24-5-2018 (Sr No.7): -

*"the rebate shall be allowed in respect of residential properties only"*

**ITEM 53**

**REGISTRATION/ENLISTMENT OF FIRM - M/S AL-NAQEEB ASSOCIATES  
CONTRACTORS & DEVELOPERS**

Reference: Application dated nil.

**Requirement**

To consider application under reference submitted by M/s Al-Naqeeb Associates Contractors & Developers for registration/enlistment as approved contractor in PEC's Category C5 for participating in tendering process of development works.

**History of the case**

M/s Al-Naqeeb Associates Contractors & Developers is registered firm with the Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C5 of financial limit of Rs. 650.00 million under License No. 15631 and worked in different govt & semi govt deptts and completed several projects.

**Points to be considered**

Whether to approve the registration / enlistment of M/s Al-Naqeeb Associates Contractors & Developers as CBP approved Contractor for participating in tendering process of development works on payment of usual registration fee or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved registration/enlistment of M/s Al-Naqeeb Associates Contractors & Developers for registration/enlistment as approved contractor in PEC's Category C5 for participating in tendering process of development works, subject to payment of usual registration fee.

**LAND BRANCH**

**ITEM 54**

**NOC FOR GRANT OF AN AREA MEASURING 54 SQ.YDS AS EXTENSION TO PLOT NO. 243, DOHS SURVEY NO. 16, 16-A, 18, 22 & 24, SHAMI ROAD PESHAWAR CANTT.**

**Requirement:**

To consider MEO, Psc letter under reference alongwith application on Schedule -V dated 03-04-2018 asking for issuance of No Objection Certificate from municipal / congestion point view for grant of extension of an area measuring 54 Sq.Yds to the proposed plot No. 243, Svy No. 16, 16-A, 18, 22 & 24, DOC, Shami Road, Peshawar Cantonment.

Plot No. 243, Survey No. 16, 16-A, 18, 22 & 24, DOC, Shami Road, Peshawar Cantonment situated outside Bazar area under the management of MEO, Psc is held on lease in Schedule IX-A of the CLA Rules, 1937 for residential purpose in the name of Col. Nazir Ahmad as per record of MEO, Peshawar Cantt.

There is no encroachment on Govt: / Cantt Board land is involved.

**Point to be considered**

Whether to approve from municipal / congestion point view for grant of extension of an area measuring 54 Sq.Yds to the proposed plot No. 243, Svy No. 16, 16-A, 18, 22 & 24, DOC, Shami Road, Peshawar Cantonment. or otherwise?

The case file alongwith connected documents is placed on the table for Board's decision.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approved issuance of NOC from municipal point of view to the proposed extension in respect of plot No. 243, Svy No. 16, 16-A, 18, 22 & 24, DOC, Shami Road, Peshawar Cantonment as per detail mentioned on agenda side.

**PROCEEDINGS OF COMMITTEES**

**ITEM 55**

**PROCEEDINGS OF BAZAR COMMITTEE**

**Requirement:**

To consider and approve proceedings of Bazar Committee meeting of Cantonment Board, Peshawar held on 27<sup>th</sup> Sep, 2018 in respect of the following cases: -

**Legal Provision:**

Section 43 of the Cantonments Act, 1924 and CBR No.5 dated 1-10-2015: -

**43-A.-(1)** Every Board constituted under section 13A in a Class I Cantonment or Class II Cantonment shall appoint a committee consisting of the elected members of the Board, the Health Officer and the Executive Engineer for the administration of such areas in the cantonment as the Central Government may, by notification in the official Gazette, declare to bazar areas, and may delegate its powers and duties to such committee in the manner provided in clause (e) of sub-section (1) of section 44.

(2) The Vice-President of the Board shall be the Chairman of the committee appointed under sub-section (1).

*Proceedings of Bazar Committee:*

Sr. No	Case	Property Location	Status	Proposed Charges	Recommendation
1.	Lease Renewal	<b><u>SHOP NO. G.F-11(29), (GROUND FLOOR), SURVEY NO. 542/80-F, SITUATED AT PIR ALAM MARKET TIPU SULTAN ROAD, PESHAWAR CANTT.</u></b>	Schedule-VIII for commercial purpose of the CLA Rules, 1937	Not applicable	The Committee recommended the case for Lease Renewal of Shop No. G.F-11(29), (Ground Floor), and allotted Sub-sidairy Survey No. 542/80-F(G.F-11(29), situated at Pir Alam Market Tipu Sultan Road, Peshawar Cantonment in favour of <b>Mr. Aziz-ur-Rehman S/o Abdul Qadir</b> for Board's approval.
2.	Lease Renewal	<b><u>SHOP NO. G.F-9(30), (GROUND FLOOR), SURVEY NO. 542/80-F, SITUATED AT PIR ALAM MARKET TIPU SULTAN ROAD, PESHAWAR CANTT.</u></b>	Schedule-VIII for commercial purpose of the CLA Rules, 1937	Not applicable	The Committee recommended the case for Lease Renewal of Shop No. G.F-9(30), (Ground Floor) and allotted Sub-sidairy Survey No. 542/80-F(G.F-9(30), situated at Pir Alam Market Tipu Sultan Road, Peshawar Cantonment in favour of <b>Mr. Sher Ali S/o Amir Habib Ullah Khan</b> for Board's approval.
3.	Lease Renewal	<b><u>SHOP NO. 16, COMPRISING SURVEY NO. 407/A(16), SITUATED AT AWAMI PLAZA, OUTSIDE DABGARI GATE, PESHAWAR CANTT.</u></b>	Schedule-VIII for commercial purpose of the CLA Rules, 1937	Not applicable	The Committee considered and recommended the Lease Renewal of Shop No. 16, comprising Survey No. 407/A(16), situated at Awami Plaza, outside Dabgari Gate, Peshawar Cantonment in favor <b>M/S Muhammad Miskeen &amp; Syed Ali Shah Ss/o Haji Zain Ullah Khan</b> for Board's approval.
4.	Mutation / Transfer	<b><u>SHOP NO. GF-02 (GROUND FLOOR) OUT OF PROPERTY COMPRISING SURVEY NO.</u></b>	Schedule VIII (Commercial-II-Building)	TIP Tax Rs. 60,596/- vide Bank Challan No. GC-	The Committee considered and recommended the mutation/transfer of lease hold rights of Shop No. GF-02 (Ground Floor), out of

		<u>410/B-I, 410/B-I(B) &amp; 410/B-I(C), KHYBER BAZAAR, PESHAWAR CANTT.</u>		45524 dated 29-12-2014.	Property Survey No. 410/B-I, 410/B-I(B) & 410/B-I(C), Khyber Bazaar, Peshawar Cantonment in favour of <b>Muhammad Hayat Khan Babar S/o Haji Muhammad Akram Khan</b> for Board's approval.
5.	Mutation / Transfer	<u>HOUSE NO. 882, COMPRISING SURVEY NO. 542/1015, SITUATED AT AHL-E-HADEES STREET, PESHAWAR CANTT.</u>	Schedule-IX-C of the CLA Rules, 1937 for residential purpose.	TIP Tax Rs. 1,26,669/- vide Bank Challan No. GC-321861, dated 23-04-2018.	The Committee considered and recommended the mutation/transfer of lease hold rights of House No. 882, Survey No. 542/1015, Ahl-E-Hadees street, Peshawar Cantonment in favour of <b>Muhammad Jamal S/o Muhammad Javed Ghori</b> for Board's approval.
6.	Mutation / Transfer	<u>HOUSE NO. 168/A/1 &amp; 2, COMPRISING SURVEY NO. 542/201 (A), SITUATED AT LIAQUAT STREET NO. 04, PESHAWAR CANTT.</u>	Old Grant	Not applicable	The Committee considered and recommended the mutation/transfer of House No. 168/A/1 & 2, comprising Survey No. 542/201(A), situated at Liaquat street No. 04, Peshawar Cantonment in favour of (1). <b>Mr. Riffat Hussain</b> , (2). <b>Mr. Ishrat Hussain</b> , (3). <b>Mst Nusrat Najeeb</b> , (4). <b>Mst. Iffat Ara Hussain</b> , (5). <b>Mst. Nilofar Basit (Sons &amp; Daughters of Late Farhat Hussain</b> for Board's approval.
7.	Lease Renewal	<u>SHOP NO. G.F-8(27), (GROUND FLOOR), SURVEY NO. 542/80-F, SITUATED AT PIR ALAM MARKET TIPU SULTAN ROAD, PESHAWAR</u>	Schedule - VIII for commercial purpose of the CLA Rules, 1937	Not applicable	The Committee recommended the case for Lease Renewal of Shop No. G.F-8(27), (Ground Floor), and allotted Sub-sidairy Survey No. 542/80-F(G.F-8(27), situated at Pir Alam Market Tipu Sultan Road, Peshawar Cantonment in favour of <b>Mr. Awal Din S/o Ganderey.</b> for Board's

		<u>CANTT.</u>			approval.
8.	Conversion of Old Grant rights into regular lease	<u>SHOP NO. 465,</u> <u>SURVEY NO.</u> <u>542/541,</u> <u>SITUATED AT</u> <u>SADDAR</u> <u>ROAD,</u> <u>PESHAWAR</u> <u>CANTONMEN</u> <u>T.</u>	Old Grant	Payable	The Committee considered and recommended for Board Approval Conversion of old grant rights into regular lease in Schedule:IX-C of the CLA Rules, 1937 for commercial purpose in respect of Shop No. 465, Survey No. 542/541, Saddar Road, Peshawar Cantt as per Old Grant Policy 2007 for approval/sanction

**Point(s) to be considered:**

Whether to approve the above proceeding of Bazar Committee in respect of 8 x cases above or otherwise.

The proceeding of the Bazar Committee is placed on the table for Board's consideration.

**RESOLUTION**

The Board considered and approve the proceedings of Bazar Committee meeting of Cantonment Board, Peshawar held on 27<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 in respect of the above mentioned cases.

**(Rana Khawar Iftikhar)**  
Cantonment Executive Officer,  
Peshawar Cantonment

**Muhammad Waris**  
Vice President, Cantt Board Peshawar

**PESHAWAR CANTONMENT - FRIDAY THE 28<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2018**